- 1 Title: Unidirectional trans-Atlantic gene flow and a mixed spawning area shape the
- 2 genetic connectivity of Atlantic bluefin tuna
- 3
- 4 Running title: Genetic connectivity of Atlantic bluefin tuna
- 5

6	Natalia Díaz-Arce ^{1*} , Pierre-Alexandre Gagnaire ² , David E. Richardson ³ , John F. Walter III ⁴ ,
7	Sophie Arnaud-Haond ⁵ , Jean-Marc Fromentin ⁵ , Deirdre Brophy ⁶ , Molly Lutcavage ⁷ , Piero
8	Addis ⁸ , Francisco Alemany ⁹ , Robert Allman ¹⁰ , Simeon Deguara ¹¹ , Igaratza Fraile ¹² ,
9	Nicolas Goñi ^{12#} , Alex R Hanke ¹³ , F Saadet Karakulak ¹⁴ , Ashley Pacicco ¹⁵ , Joseph M
10	Quattro ¹⁶ , Jay R Rooker ¹⁷ , Haritz Arrizabalaga ¹² and Naiara Rodríguez-Ezpeleta ^{1,*}
11	*Correspondence: nrodriguez@azti.es ;
12	
13	¹ AZTI Marine Research, Basque Research and Technology Alliance (BRTA), Sukarrieta,
14	Spain
15	² ISEM, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, EPHE, IRD, Montpellier, France
16	³ Northeast Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, National
17	Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Narragansett, RI, USA
18	⁴ Southeast Fisheries Sciences Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, National
19	Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Miami, FL, USA
20	⁵ MARBEC, Univ Montpellier, Ifremer, IRD, CNRS, Sète, France
21	⁶ Marine and Freshwater Research Center, Atlantic Technological University (ATU),
22	Galway City, Ireland

- ²³ ⁷ Large Pelagics Research Center, School for the Environment, University of
- 24 Massachusetts Boston, Gloucester, MA, USA
- ⁸ Department of Environmental and Life Science, University of Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy
- ⁹ International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas, GBYP, Madrid, Spain
- ¹⁰ National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast Fisheries Science Center, Panama City
- 28 Laboratory, Panama City, FL, USA.
- 29 ¹¹ AquaBio Tech Ltd., Central Complex, Mosta, Malta
- ¹² AZTI Marine Research, Basque Research and Technology Alliance (BRTA), Pasaia,
- 31 Spain
- ¹³ St Andrews Biological Station, Fisheries and Oceans Canada, St. Andrews, New
- 33 Brunswick, Canada
- ¹⁴ Faculty of Aquatic Sciences, Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey
- ¹⁵ Cooperative Institute for Marine and Atmospheric Studies Rosenstiel School of
- 36 Marine, Atmospheric and Earth Science, University of Miami, Miami, FL, USA
- ¹⁶ Department of Biological Sciences, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC, USA
- ¹⁷ Department of Marine Biology, Texas A&M University at Galveston, Galveston, TX,

39 USA

- 40 [#] Present address: Fisheries and Fish Resources, Natural Resources Institute Finland,
- 41 Turku, Finland

42

44 Abstract [<250 words]

45 The commercially important Atlantic bluefin tuna (*Thunnus thynnus*), a large migratory fish, has experienced notable recovery aided by accurate resource assessment and 46 effective fisheries management efforts. Traditionally, this species has been perceived as 47 consisting of eastern and western populations, spawning respectively in the 48 49 Mediterranean Sea and the Gulf of Mexico, with mixing occurring throughout the 50 Atlantic. However, recent studies have challenged this assumption by revealing weak 51 genetic differentiation and identifying a previously unknown spawning ground in the 52 Slope Sea used by Atlantic bluefin tuna of uncertain origin. To further understand the current and past population structure and connectivity of Atlantic bluefin tuna, we have 53 54 assembled a unique dataset including thousands of genome-wide single nucleotide 55 polymorphisms (SNPs) from 500 larvae, young of the year and spawning adult samples covering the three spawning grounds and including individuals of other *Thunnus* species. 56 Our analyses support two weakly differentiated but demographically connected 57 ancestral populations that interbreed in the Slope Sea. Moreover, we also identified 58 59 signatures of introgression from albacore (Thunnus alalunga) into the Atlantic bluefin 60 tuna genome, exhibiting varied frequencies across spawning areas, indicating strong gene flow from the Mediterranean Sea towards the Slope Sea. We hypothesize that the 61 62 observed genetic differentiation may be attributed to increased gene flow caused by a recent intensification of westward migration by the eastern population, which could 63 have implications for the genetic diversity and conservation of western populations. 64 65 Future conservation efforts should consider these findings to address potential genetic 66 homogenization in the species.

67

68 Keywords [4-6]:

- 69 atlantic bluefin tuna, genetic connectivity, introgression, large migratory fish, single-
- 70 nucleotide polymorphisms

71 Introduction

72 Conservation of fisheries resources relies on the assessment and management of self-73 sustaining units called stocks, whose delimitation often oversimplifies species population dynamics (Begg et al., 1999, Stephenson, 1999, Reiss et al., 2009). Yet, failing 74 75 to account for stock complexity can induce overfishing and ultimately result in fisheries 76 collapse (Hutchinson, 2008), highlighting the importance of integrating knowledge on 77 spatial structure and connectivity into management plan development processes (Kerr 78 et al., 2016). In this context, the potential of genomic approaches is increasingly being 79 harnessed to tackle a diverse range of fisheries management related questions. Among these are assessment of population structure, connectivity and adaptation to local 80 81 environments (Bernatchez et al., 2017; Ovenden et al., 2015), even when genetic 82 differentiation is low, as observed in highly migratory fish like striped marlin (Mamoozadeh et al., 2020), blue shark (Nikolic et al., 2023) and yellowfin tuna (Barth, 83 Damerau, et al., 2017). While the study of neutral (not affecting individuals fitness) and 84 85 adaptive (those that affect individuals fitness) variants separately can provide with complementary information relevant for fisheries science (Mariani & Bekkevold, 2014), 86 87 the preservation of fish genetic diversity and conservation of locally adapted populations has gained importance in the face of rapid environmental changes and 88 89 increasing fishing pressure (Bonanomi et al., 2015). Species resilience may depend on their adaptive capacities (Bernatchez, 2016; Hoffmann & Sgrò, 2011), making the 90 91 inclusion of adaptive variation in genomic studies focusing on managed fisheries target 92 species essential (Fraser & Bernatchez, 2001; Valenzuela-Quiñonez, 2016; Xuereb et al., 93 2021).

The Atlantic bluefin tuna (ABFT, Thunnus thynnus) is a large and emblematic highly 94 migratory species that inhabits waters of the North Atlantic Ocean and adjacent seas 95 96 (Collette et al., 2011; Fromentin & Powers, 2005). ABFT has been heavily exploited for millennia and the emergence of the sushi-sashimi market in the 1980s turned it into one 97 of the most valuable tuna species in the international fish trade (Fromentin, 98 99 Bonhommeau, et al., 2014). This high value, coupled with poor governance, led to three decades of high fishing pressure and ultimately to overexploitation. Following the 100 101 implementation of a strict management plan in the late 1990s, signs of population rebuilding have been documented (ICCAT, 2021). However by 2011, ABFT was 102 103 considered endangered by the IUCN (Collette et al., 2011). Uncertainties around ABFT 104 biology suggest that an overly simplistic management paradigm could compromise the 105 long-term conservation of the species (Brophy et al., 2020; Fromentin, Bonhommeau, 106 et al., 2014). ABFT has been managed as two separate units since 1981: the western and 107 eastern stocks, which are separated by the 45°W meridian and are assumed to originate 108 from the two spawning areas located in the Gulf of Mexico and the Mediterranean Sea respectively (ICCAT, 2019). Several studies on the population structure and stock 109 110 dynamics support two reproductively isolated spawning components (Gulf of Mexico 111 and the Mediterranean Sea): electronic tagging studies (Block et al., 2005) have found 112 no individual visiting both spawning areas, and otolith chemical signatures (Rooker et al., 2014) and genetic data (Rodríguez-Ezpeleta et al., 2019) support spawning-site 113 114 fidelity. Nevertheless, numerous studies also detected evidence of regular trans-Atlantic 115 movements across the 45°W meridian boundary line and of mixed foraging grounds 116 along the North Atlantic (Arregui et al., 2018; Block et al., 2005; Rodríguez-Ezpeleta et al., 2019; Rooker et al., 2014). In response to these findings, the International 117

118 Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas (ICCAT) recently adopted a management procedure for ABFT that accounts for mixing between the two stocks 119 120 (ICCAT, 2023). Given recent advancements in stock of origin assignment and increased samples from the mixing areas, it is important to determine if the modelled dynamics 121 122 are consistent with the new data on mixing proportions. Specifically, when applying 123 individual origin assignment based on subsets of informative genetic markers of ABFT captured in the North Atlantic Ocean (Puncher et al., 2022; Rodríguez-Ezpeleta et al., 124 125 2019), it was observed that 10%-25% of individuals could not be clearly assigned to 126 either spawning ground. Moreover, a combined analysis of genetic and otolith microchemistry data resulted in contrasting or unresolved origin assignments (Brophy 127 128 et al., 2020).

129 Amidst uncertainty surrounding ABFT stock dynamics, the recent discovery of ABFT larvae in the Slope Sea, located between the Gulf Stream and the northeast Unite States 130 continental shelf (Richardson et al., 2016a), adds another layer of complexity to our 131 132 knowledge of the reproductive ecology of the species. Subsequent oceanographic studies (Rypina et al., 2019) and larval collections (Hernández et al., 2022) provide 133 134 additional evidence of spawning activity in this area. Tagging information further revealed that mature size fish occurred in the Slope Sea in spring and summer coinciding 135 136 with the spawning season estimated for the found larvae (Galuardi et al., 2010), and 137 age-structure spawning in the western Atlantic has been hypothesized based on tagging, 138 longline catch data and reproductive studies data, meaning that younger fish would 139 preferably spawn in the Slope Sea and only bigger fish would spawn in the Gulf of Mexico 140 (Richardson et al., 2016a). The implications of Slope Sea spawning generated debate and 141 controversy (Richardson et al., 2016b; Safina, 2016; Walter et al., 2016), with one of the

key unknowns being the connectivity between the Slope Sea and the other two
spawning grounds. In addition, some studies found evidence of migratory changes in
ABFT, including the re-colonization (Aarestrup et al., 2022; Horton et al., 2020;
Nøttestad et al., 2020) and even expansion (Jansen et al., 2021) of its geographic range.
These changes coincided with a strong recovery of the Mediterranean Sea spawning
biomass during the last two decades and the increased presence of eastern origin fish
in the western Atlantic (Aalto et al., 2021).

149 To disentangle the population structure and connectivity of ABFT, we genotyped and analysed thousands of genome-wide single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNPs) from a 150 total of approximate 500 ABFT larvae, young of the year and adults from the two well-151 152 known spawning grounds (Gulf of Mexico and Mediterranean Sea) as well as the recently 153 discovered Slope Sea spawning ground. We studied individual genomic diversity, tested for admixture between spawning grounds and inferred the demographic history of ABFT 154 for the first time. Mitochondrial introgression from albacore (Thunnus alalunga) into 155 156 ABFT has been previously reported, with all introgressed individuals detected so far 157 found in the Mediterranean Sea and the Slope Sea (Alvarado Bremer et al., 2005; Viñas 158 et al., 2011), but not in the Gulf of Mexico. We screened for adaptive genomic variation, 159 incorporating samples from other Thunnus species to evaluate the impact of gene flow 160 between species as an additional contribution to adaptive genomic diversity. Finally, we 161 integrated information obtained from neutral, potentially adaptive and introgressed 162 genetic markers to reconstruct the connectivity patterns of the ABFT across its entire 163 distribution.

164

165 Methods

166 A summarized schematic view of samples and methods is shown in Figure S1.

167

168 Sampling, DNA extraction and additional data collection

Larvae, young of the year (individuals less than 3 kg weight) and adult (more than 100 169 170 kg weight individuals) samples of ABFT from the Mediterranean Sea (n=260), the Gulf of 171 Mexico (n=210) and the Slope Sea (n=49) were obtained from scientific surveys and 172 commercial fisheries from these three spawning grounds (Table S1; Figure 1a). From each adult and young of the year, a ~1 cm³ piece of muscle or fin tissue sample was 173 174 excised and immediately stored in RNA-later or 96% molecular grade ethanol at -20°C 175 until DNA extraction. Larvae were collected with a 60 cm diameter bongo net or a 2 x 1 176 m frame net and immediately preserved in 96% molecular grade ethanol. Genomic DNA 177 was extracted from about 20 mg of tissue or from whole (only if larvae were of less than 8mm, which corresponds with the category of preflexion or intermediate and therefore 178 these are not expected to have predated over other larvae of their same species which 179 180 could be confounded with sample contamination) or partial larvae (eyeballs or tails) using the Wizard[®] Genomic DNA Purification kit (Promega), following manufacturer's 181 182 instructions for 'Isolating Genomic DNA from Tissue Culture Cells and Animal Tissue'. 183 Extracted DNA was suspended in Milli-Q water and concentration was determined with 184 the Quant-iT dsDNA HS assay kit using a Qubit[®] 2.0 Fluorometer (Life Technologies). DNA integrity was assessed by electrophoresis, migrating about 100 ng of GelRed™-185 186 stained DNA on a 1.0% agarose gel. For selected specimens, spawning capability was 187 assessed by histologic inspection following the criteria described in Brown-Peterson et 188 al. (2011). Additionally, for females, ovulation within the past 2 days was determined 189 from identification of post-ovulatory follicle complexes, which are assumed to degrade

190 within 24-48 h (Aranda et al., 2011; McPherson, 1991; Schaefer, 1996). For selected adult specimens, sagittal otoliths were prepared for analysis of stable isotope signatures 191 192 of the otolith core (yearling period) according to the protocol described in Rooker et al. (2008) and analysed for δ^{13} C and δ^{18} O on an automated carbonate preparation device 193 194 (KIEL-III, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) coupled to a gas-ratio mass spectrometer 195 (Finnigan MAT 252, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) at the University of Arizona. Stable isotopes of carbon and oxygen (δ^{13} C and δ^{18} O) are reported relative to the PeeDee 196 197 Belemnite (PDB) scale after comparison to an in-house laboratory standard calibrated 198 to PDB.

199

200 Cytochrome oxidase subunit I gene fragment amplification and sequencing and 201 diagnostic variant identification

202 A fragment of the mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase subunit I (COI) gene was amplified 203 for a representative subset of 86 individuals using the FishF1 (5'-244 204 TCAACCAACCACAAAGACATTGGCAC-3') FishR1 (5'and TAGACTTCTGGGTGGCCAAAGAATCA-3') primers (Ward et al., 2005) in a total volume of 205 206 20 µL with 0.2 µL of Dream Taq Polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 2 µL of Dream 207 Taq Buffer 10X (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 0.4 µL of each primer and 50 ng of total DNA 208 using the following profile: an initial denaturation step at 95°C for 3 min, 35 cycles of 30 s at 98°C, 30 s at 54°C and 60 s at 72°C, and a final extension of 72°C for 10 min. Products 209 were visualized on 1.7% agarose gels, purified with GE Healthcare Illustra ExoProStar™ 210 211 (ref. US77705) and Sanger sequenced. The newly generated 86 sequences were edited 212 using SeqTrace 0.9.0, submitted to GenBank (accession nos MT037084-MT037149, 213 MT037151-MT037170) and aligned with BioEdit (v7.2.5) together with other publicly

available representative COI sequences of albacore tuna (*T. alalunga*; accession no.
KT074102) and ABFT (accession no. DQ107585), including the alalunga-like (accession
no. GQ414567) haplotypes (Table S2). Diagnostic positions between ABFT and albacore
haplotypes were used to detect mitochondrial introgression from albacore to ABFT
samples.

219

220 RAD-seq library preparation, sequencing and read filtering

Restriction-site-associated DNA libraries of 519 ABFT individuals were prepared 221 222 following Etter et al. (2012). Input DNA (ranging from 50 to 500 ng) was digested with 223 the SbfI restriction enzyme and ligated to modified Illumina P1 adapters containing 5 bp 224 unique barcodes. Pooled DNA of 32 individuals was sheared using the Covaris® M220 225 focused-ultrasonicator™ instrument (Life Technologies) and size selected to 300-500 bp 226 on agarose gel. After Illumina P2 adaptor ligation, each library was amplified using 14 227 PCR cycles. Each pool was paired end sequenced (100 bp) on an Illumina HiSeq2000. De-228 multiplexing, quality filtering (removing reads with an average Phred score is lower than 229 20 and truncating them to 90 nucleotides to remove low-quality bases at the sequence 230 end) and PCR duplicate removal were performed using the process_radtags and 231 clone_filter modules of Stacks version 2.3e (Rochette et al., 2019).

232

233 RAD-tag assembly and SNP calling

Five RAD-seq derived catalogues (Figure S1; Table S3) were generated. Three of them included ABFT individuals and were either de novo assembled ('nuclear de novo') or mapped to the Pacific bluefin tuna nuclear (PBFT, *Thunnus orientalis*) (Suda et al., 2019) or ABFT mitochondrial (accession no. NC 014052) genomes ('nuclear mapped' and

238 'mito'). The other two catalogues were mapped to the PBFT genome and included all ABFT individuals and four Southern bluefin tuna (Thunnus maccoyii), four albacore and 239 240 five PBFT individuals (Díaz-Arce et al., 2016) ('nuclear mapped + others') or only ABFT 241 larvae and four albacore individuals ('nuclear mapped + ALB'). Both reference-mapped and de novo-assembled catalogues were generated for testing possible bias introduced 242 243 by the use of the reference genome from a closely related species, which is less fragmented than the one available for ABFT (accession no. GCA_003231725). The three 244 245 nuclear-mapped catalogues were generated including different sets of individuals as 246 described earlier to maximize the number of informative markers included for each type of analysis. In order to avoid inclusion of kins in the resulting datasets, which could bias 247 248 some population structure results, a genetic relatedness matrix using the GCTA toolbox 249 (Yang et al., 2011) was generated using the genotypes obtained from the 'nuclear 250 mapped' catalogue (generated as described next), and only one individual (the one with 251 the highest number of assembled RAD tags) of each resulting pair with relatedness 252 higher than 0.1 (threshold selected after visual inspection of the distribution of the genetic relatedness values) was included in subsequent analyses. Reference-based 253 254 assemblies were performed by mapping the quality-filtered reads to the corresponding 255 reference genome using the BWA-MEM algorithm (Li, 2013) using default mapping 256 parameters, converting the resulting SAM files to sorted and indexed BAM files using 257 SAMTOOLS (Li et al., 2009) and filtering the mapped reads to include only primary 258 alignments and correctly mate mapped reads. De novo assembly was performed using 259 the ustacks, cstacks, sstacks and tsv2bam modules of Stacks version 2.3e with a 260 minimum coverage depth of three reads per allele (i.e. each of the two possible versions 261 of one bi-allelic SNP variant), a maximum of two nucleotide mismatches between two

262 alleles at a same locus and a maximum of six mismatches between loci (Rodríguez-Ezpeleta et al., 2019). For all mapped and de novo catalogues, SNPs were called using 263 264 information from paired end reads with the *gstacks* module of Stacks version 2.3e. For the 'mito' catalogue, only samples with no missing data for the three diagnostic 265 266 positions used for detecting introgression were kept, and the heterozygous genotypes, 267 considered to be related to sequencing or assembly errors, were removed. For the rest of the RAD catalogues, only samples with more than 25,000 RAD loci and SNPs contained 268 269 in RAD loci present in at least 75% of the ABFT ('nuclear mapped' and 'de novo') or in 270 75% of the individuals from each of the species included ('nuclear mapped + others' and 271 'nuclear mapped + ALB') were kept and exported into PLINK (Purcell et al., 2007) using 272 the populations module of Stacks version 2.3e. Using only SNPs derived from read 1, 273 increasing threshold values for minimum genotyping rate for individuals and SNPs were 274 applied to obtain a final genotype table with a minimum genotyping rate of 0.95 and 0.85 per SNP and individual respectively (except for the 'nuclear mapped + ALB' 275 276 catalogue for which thresholds were 0.95 and 0.90 respectively). SNPs were filtered using different minor allele frequency (MAF) thresholds considering sample sizes of the 277 278 different datasets to exclude from the analysis rare non-informative variants that are 279 susceptible to being derived from sequencing or assembly errors. For the 'nuclear 280 mapped' and 'nuclear de novo' catalogues, SNPs with a MAF < 0.05 were removed, for the 'nuclear mapped + others' catalogue, SNPs with MAF < 0.05 in ABFT and MAF < 0.25 281 in each of the other species were removed, and for the 'nuclear mapped + ALB' 282 283 catalogue, SNPs with a minimum allele count of two in ABFT and those variable within albacore were removed. For all nuclear catalogues, SNPs failing Hardy Weinberg 284 285 equilibrium test at a p-value threshold of .05 in Mediterranean Sea larvae or Gulf of

286 Mexico larvae groups were removed. Resulting genotype tables including all SNPs or only the first SNP per tag were converted to Genepop, Structure, PLINK, BayeScan, 287 288 immanc, VCF and TreeMix formats using populations or PGDSpider version 2.0.8.3 289 (Lischer & Excoffier, 2011). From the 'mito' catalogue, genotypes for three diagnostic 290 positions used for detecting introgression identified though comparison of COI 291 sequences (see Cytochrome oxidase subunit I gene fragment amplification and sequencing and diagnostic variant identification subsection earlier) were extracted using 292 PLINK (Purcell et al., 2007). 293

294

295 *Genetic diversity and population structure estimates*

296 The following analyses were performed on the 'nuclear mapped' and 'nuclear de novo' datasets including only the first SNP per tag. Genome-wide average and per-SNP 297 298 pairwise F_{ST} values were calculated using Genepop (Raymond, 1995) both including all 299 individuals or only larvae. Significance (p<.05) of F_{ST} values was estimated by performing 300 10,000 permutations. Principal component analysis (PCA) was then performed using the adegenet R package (Jombart & Ahmed, 2011) to illustrate the main axes of genetic 301 302 variation among individuals. The number and nature of distinct genetic clusters was 303 investigated using the model based clustering method implemented in ADMIXTURE 304 (Alexander et al., 2009) assuming from 2 to 5 ancestral populations (K) and setting 5000 bootstrap runs. A first ADMIXTURE run was launched for each value of K to check the 305 306 number of steps necessary to reach the default 0.001 likelihood value during the first 307 run. This information was used to set the '-c' parameter (steps to be fulfilled in each 308 bootstrapped run) that would assure convergence for each analysis (from 20 to 100 309 steps) for the bootstrapped runs. The value of K (ranging from 2 to 10) with lowest

associated error value was identified using ADMIXTURE's cross-validation procedure.
The convert function from ADMIXTOOLS software (Patterson et al., 2012) was used to
convert from PLINK to eigenstrat format and the qp3Pop function was used to calculate
F3 statistic and Z-score associated values (Patterson et al., 2012), testing for all possible
admixture scenarios grouping separately samples from different locations and age
classes (Table S4) on the 'nuclear-mapped' catalogue dataset.

316

317 *Demographic history*

We used the unfolded three-dimensional joint Site Frequency Spectrum (3D-JSFS) to 318 infer the ABFT demographic history. The 3D-JSFS was constructed for Mediterranean 319 320 Sea, Slope Sea and Gulf of Mexico populations using the allele counts of bi-allelic variants 321 included in the VCF file obtained from the 'nuclear mapped + ALB' catalogue, which 322 included four albacore samples for variant orientation. Derived allele counts were 323 averaged over all possible re-sampling of 20 genotypes within each of the three ABFT 324 locations and singletons were excluded using a minimum allele count filter of two. We performed historical demographic model comparison by fitting separately 10 candidate 325 326 models (Table S5) to the observed JSFS using a diffusion approximation approach 327 implemented in $\delta a \delta i v 1.7.0$ (Gutenkunst et al., 2009) and an optimization routine based 328 on consecutive rounds of optimizations (Portik et al., 2017). We adapted existing divergence models to include the three different possible dichotomous branching of the 329 330 three populations involving two splits, a simultaneous split of the three populations 331 from an ancestral populations and a scenario of split between the Mediterranean Sea 332 and Gulf of Mexico populations followed by an admixed origin of the Slope Sea. We 333 fitted each of these divergence scenarios with or without allowing constant migration

334 rates between populations from split to present. Ancestral effective population size (N_A) , migration rates and time estimates scaled to theta $(4N_A\mu)$ and the percentage of 335 336 variable sites correctly oriented with respect to the ancestral state were estimated for all models. Model selection was performed using the Akaike information criterion and 337 338 goodness of fit was assessed by generating 100 Poisson-simulated SFS from the model 339 SFS, fitting the model to each simulated SFS and using the log-likelihood and logtransformed chi-squared test statistic to generate a distribution of simulated data values 340 341 against which the empirical values can be compared (Portik et al., 2017).

342

343 Loci under selection

344 Loci potentially influenced by selection were screened from the 'nuclear mapped' 345 catalogue considering all SNPs using two approaches. The reversible jump Markov chain 346 Monte Carlo approach implemented in BAYESCAN 2.1 (Foll & Gaggiotti 2008) was applied by grouping samples per location, setting default parameters of 50,000 burn-in 347 348 steps, 5000 iterations, 10 thinning interval size and 20 pilot runs of size 5000. Candidate 349 loci under selection with a posterior probability higher than 0.76 (considered as strong 350 according to the Jeffery's interpretation in the software manual) and a false discovery 351 rate (FDR) lower than 0.05 were selected. We then used the multivariate analysis 352 method implemented in the pcadapt R package, which does not require a prior grouping 353 of the samples, following Luu et al. (2017) recommendations and selected outlier SNPs 354 following the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure. Sequences of the RAD loci containing 355 outlier SNPs were obtained using the populations module of Stacks version 2.3e and 356 mapped against the annotated reference genome of *Thunnus albacares* (accession no. 357 GCA_914725855) using the BWA-MEM algorithm (Li, 2013) using default mapping 358 parameters. Pairwise linkage disequilibrium between all filtered SNPs obtained from those scaffolds which contained candidate SNPs under selection was measured using 359 360 the R package LDheatmap. PCAs were performed using the adegenet R package (Jombart & Ahmed 2011) based on outlier SNPs, and variants obtained from one 361 362 genomic region found to be under high linkage disequilibrium from the 'nuclear 363 mapped' and the 'nuclear mapped + others' catalogues. Individual heterozygosity values based on SNPs within and out from this region from the 'nuclear mapped', and test for 364 365 Hardy Weinberg equilibrium of identified haplotype groups were calculated using PLINK (Purcell et al., 2007). 366

367

368 Tests for nuclear introgression

Nuclear introgression from albacore to ABFT was tested by applying the statistical model 369 370 implemented in TreeMix (Pickrell & Pritchard, 2012) and ABBA/BABA analyses (Durand 371 et al., 2011; Green et al., 2010; Kulathinal et al. 2009) on 'nuclear mapped + other' 372 dataset with only one SNP per tag to avoid including variants in high linkage. The latter test was also performed excluding or including only those SNPs located within the 373 374 genomic region found under high linkage disequilibrium [scaffolds BKCK01000075 375 (partially) and BKCK01000111]. TreeMix was used to estimate historical relationships 376 among populations and species by estimating the maximum likelihood tree for a set of populations allowing historical gene flow events. TreeMix was run allowing from 0 to 10 377 378 migration events, obtaining an increasing number of possible gene flow events and 379 associated likelihood values. We followed the author's recommendations (Pickrell & 380 Pritchard, 2012) to select the most probable number of migration events by stopping 381 adding additional migration events as long as the results remained interpretable and

382 selecting the number showing best-associated likelihood value. The ABBA/BABA test, which measures the excess of derived alleles shared between a candidate donor species 383 384 and one of two tested groups (in this case, one ABFT group) compared with the other group taken as a reference (a different ABFT group), was performed on the allele 385 386 frequencies of the derived allele in albacore and ABFT locations, based on the ancestral 387 state defined by the Southern bluefin tuna taken as an out-group. Derived alleles frequencies 388 were estimated using а python script available at 389 https://github.com/simonhmartin/genomics_general. Patterson's D statistic was 390 calculated using R for all possible combinations of target and reference groups of ABFT, always considering albacore as the candidate donor species. Additionally, inter-species 391 392 absolute divergence (dxy) between Mediterranean ABFT larvae and albacore individuals was estimated at each polymorphic position from the 'nuclear mapped + other' 393 394 catalogue. PCAs were performed using the adegenet R package (Jombart & Ahmed, 395 2011) based on all filtered SNPs and only those SNPs from the region under high linkage 396 disequilibrium from the 'nuclear mapped + others' catalogue.

397

```
398 Results
```

399

400 Genetic differentiation between Atlantic bluefin tuna spawning components

We studied the population genetic structure and connectivity of ABFT using a genomewide SNP dataset. Our study includes reference samples (*i.e.,* larvae and young of the year ABFT captured at or close to where they were hatched and adults caught on the spawning grounds during the spawning season) from the Gulf of Mexico and Mediterranean Sea, and from a more recently discovered spawning ground in the Slope

Sea used by ABFT of unknown origin (Figure 1a, Table S1). Consistent genetic 406 differentiation between samples from these three spawning grounds was revealed by 407 408 both an unsupervised clustering analysis of genetic ancestry (ADMIXTURE) (Figures 1b 409 and S2a) and a PCA (Figure 1c and S2b), with significant pairwise genetic differentiation 410 (F_{ST}) between reference larvae from different spawning grounds ranging from 0.0007 411 (Slope Sea – Gulf of Mexico) to 0.003 (Mediterranean Sea – Gulf of Mexico) (Table S6).No fixed nor private alleles were found between spawning areas. This contemporary 412 413 genetic structure was associated with a mixture of two genetic ancestries (Figure S3), 414 hereafter called GOM-like, predominant in the Gulf of Mexico (average GOM-like 415 ancestry proportion across Gulf of Mexico individuals was 0.81 SD ± 0.22), and MED-like, 416 predominant in the Mediterranean Sea (average MED-like ancestry proportion across 417 Mediterranean individuals was 0.82 SD ± 0.11). Additionally, whereas all Mediterranean 418 individuals had a homogeneous MED-like genetic origin, ancestry profiles of Gulf of Mexico individuals were more variable, including 15 adults (out of 156) (Table S1) with 419 420 a clear MED-like genetic profile (average GOM-like proportion across Gulf of Mexico individuals excluding 15 MED-like was 0.86 SD ± 0.14) (Figure 1c,d). Otolith 421 422 microchemistry composition available for 6 of these 15 MED-like adults is compatible 423 with Mediterranean Sea origin (Figure S4) and gonad histology inspection confirmed 424 that 14 of them were spawning capable, including one female that had ovulated less than 48 h prior to capture (Table S1). Compared to the Gulf of Mexico and the 425 426 Mediterranean Sea, the Slope Sea showed a large variance in individual ancestries 427 ranging from GOM-like to MED-like, with a high proportion of admixed ancestries 428 (average GOM-like proportion across Slope Sea individuals was 0.68 SD ± 0.22) (Figure 429 1d). In agreement with these results, admixture tests (F3 statistics) showed that the

430 Slope Sea component is the result of admixture between the two other components 431 (Figure 1e, S5 and Table S4). No admixture was found in the Mediterranean Sea, nor in 432 larvae from the Gulf of Mexico. In contrast, admixture was detected in adult samples from the Gulf of Mexico (Figure 1e). Demographic history inferences (dadi) supported 433 434 that the Slope Sea and the Gulf of Mexico spawning components share a recent common 435 ancestry, and that there is strong contemporary migration from the Mediterranean Sea and the Gulf of Mexico towards the Slope Sea (Figures 1f, S6 and Table S5). Migration 436 437 rates in all other directions are much weaker, the strongest being the migration from the Slope Sea back to the Gulf of Mexico, which is three times lower than in the opposite 438 direction. 439

440

441

442 Observed genetic differentiation between Atlantic bluefin tuna spawning components
443 cannot be attributed to local adaptation acting on few loci of large effect

444 To better understand the evolutionary processes behind genetic differentiation in ABFT, 445 we separately studied genetic diversity at neutral (i.e. those that are mostly influenced 446 by genetic drift and migration) and outlier SNPs markers (i.e. those that are potentially 447 under selection or in tight linkage with selected loci). Removing the 123 identified outlier 448 markers did not change the overall population structure pattern nor differentiation values (Figure S7), suggesting that observed genetic differentiation cannot be explained 449 450 by local adaptation only. On the other hand, analyses based on the 123 markers 451 identified as potentially under selection provided higher genetic differentiation values 452 among spawning grounds (Figure S8), but revealed three groups of samples that do not 453 correspond to the overall population structure (Figures 2a and S8) and that are neither

454 related to laboratory nor phenotypic sex effects (Figure S9). These 123 outliers were 455 located within 104 different assembled RAD tags, whose sequences mapped against the 456 annotated reference genome of T. albacares, among which 84 were located within protein coding genes (Table S7). The 20% of the SNP markers that contribute the most 457 458 to this grouping are located within the same region of the genome (mapping on two 459 scaffolds spanning 2.63 Mb region of the PBFT reference genome) (Table S8) and show strong pairwise linkage disequilibrium (LD) across the whole region (meaning that 460 461 variant versions of SNP pairs are non-randomly associated and the same combination is often found among individuals haplotypes) (Figure 2b). The SNPs located within this 462 high-LD region, which mapped to the same chromosome of the *T. albacares* reference 463 464 genome (Table S7), support a three-grouping pattern (Figure S10a), with the 465 intermediate group of individuals in the PCA presenting increased heterozygosity values (Figures 2c, S10b). This suggests the existence of two main haplotypes (unique allelic 466 combinations across multiple SNPs) in this region combined into three possible 467 468 genotypes (e.g. AA, AB, BB), which shows characteristics typical of a chromosomal inversion. These two haplotypes, presumably the inverted and collinear versions, are 469 470 present at different frequencies among spawning grounds, the rarest found to be 471 homozygous only in the Mediterranean Sea, where it is more frequent, and the 472 alternative being more abundant in the Gulf of Mexico and Slope Sea (Figure 2d). The variants of this inversion, if considered as one single marker, were under Hardy-473 474 Weinberg equilibrium (p < 0.05) within each group showed in Figure 2d.

475

476 Gene flow from Mediterranean Sea towards the Slope Sea revealed by inter-specific477 introgression

478 To understand why genetic differentiation is maintained despite presumable ongoing 479 gene flow, we studied the potential adaptive effect of inter-specific introgression. 480 According to three diagnostic positions for mitochondrial ancestry (Table S2), we found albacore origin introgressed mitochondria in individuals of all age classes not only in 481 482 both the Mediterranean Sea (4%) and the Slope Sea (6%), but also to a lower extent in 483 Gulf of Mexico adults (1%) (Figure 3a and Table S1). These results were confirmed at the nuclear level by a tree-based analysis of population splits and admixture using allele 484 485 frequency data (TreeMix), which supported an introgression event from albacore into the Mediterranean Sea ABFT (Figure 3a and S11). In accordance with this deviation from 486 a strict bifurcating evolutionary history, we also found an excess of derived allele sharing 487 488 between albacore and both the Slope Sea and the Mediterranean Sea with respect to 489 the Gulf of Mexico (ABBA/BABA test, Figure 3b). To test if the genetic differentiation between Mediterranean Sea and Gulf of Mexico ABFT populations was driven by 490 introgressed alleles of albacore origin we estimated genetic diversity between the 491 492 Mediterranean ABFT and albacore individuals at each SNP, which were positively 493 correlated with F_{ST} values between Mediterranean Sea and Gulf of Mexico ABFT (Figure 494 S12).

495 A PCA based on genetic markers from the genomic region which contained most outlier 496 SNPs and including other *Thunnus* species (Figure 4a) showed that homozygous 497 individuals for the most abundant haplotype group associated with the PBFT, whereas 498 those homozygous for the rarest variant were closer to albacore. By contrast, the PCA 499 based on the genome-wide SNP dataset showed the expected grouping pattern 500 reflecting species membership, where PBFT and ABFT cluster together and were 501 separated from albacore and Southern bluefin tunas (Figure S13). Test for deviation

from a strict bifurcating evolutionary history (ABBA/BABA) showed a much more pronounced signal of introgression from albacore into the Mediterranean and Slope Sea spawning ground samples in the high-LD region showing nearly 10 times higher Dstatistic values (Figure 4b) than when considering the overall genome (Figure 3b). Yet, this pattern remained when removing all SNPs from the high-LD region (Figure S14), indicating that the signal of introgression is present genome-wide.

508 These results suggest that the genetic differentiation observed between ABFT 509 from different spawning grounds is maintained despite gene flow between the 510 Mediterranean Sea and the Slope Sea and cannot be explained by local adaptation acting 511 on a few loci of large effect. Additionally, a large genomic region of albacore ancestry, 512 introgressed into the ABFT genome in the Mediterranean Sea, has retained high LD while 513 expanding towards the western Atlantic, following the previously detected genome-514 wide signal of albacore ancestry. Altogether, our results point towards a situation where the two ancestral genetic components of ABFT (western Atlantic and Mediterranean) 515 516 have initially diverged in isolation, independently experiencing genetic drift combined with introgression of genetic material from albacore in the Mediterranean Sea. More 517 518 recently, homogenization between western Atlantic and Mediterranean components 519 could have been initiated by the intensification of gene flow, without completely 520 eroding existing genetic differentiation.

- 521
- 522

⁵²³ Discussion

525 Understanding demographic patterns in migratory fish species with complex evolutionary histories requires the integration of various data sources, ranging from 526 genetic markers, which can be affected by different evolutionary forces and reveal 527 528 reproductive isolation or local adaptation, to otolith microchemistry signals, which reveal life span spatial distributions. However, such integrative studies are rare. Our 529 530 work on the highly migratory Atlantic bluefin tuna is a compelling example of how combining information from neutral and adaptive genetic markers with otolith 531 microchemistry data allows to triangulate towards a plausible hypothesis for the 532 533 evolution and demography of species with large populations sizes, long-distance 534 migrations and low genetic differentiation that make deciphering their populations 535 structure and connectivity patterns challenging.

Based on a comprehensive ABFT genome-wide SNPs dataset (including larvae from the 536 Slope Sea and spawning adults for the Mediterranean Sea and the Gulf of Mexico), we 537 538 confirm that current ABFT populations originated from two ancestral populations as previously hypothesized (Rodríguez-Ezpeleta et al., 2019). Yet, these results also 539 revealed interbreeding in the Slope Sea and an eastern-western unidirectional trans-540 541 Atlantic gene flow that challenges the assumption of two isolated spawning areas. 542 Moreover, the identification of previously unreported inter-specific introgressed regions 543 in the ABFT nuclear genome and potentially adaptive markers within a newly discovered putative chromosomal inversion provided evidence to suggest that there have been 544 545 recent changes in ABFT connectivity which holds significant implications for the 546 conservation of the species.

547

548 Strong admixture in the Slope Sea as the result of a potential source-sink dynamic

549 The observed heterogeneously admixed genetic profiles of Slope Sea larvae and young 550 of the year ABFT support recurrent interbreeding between migrants from the Gulf of 551 Mexico and the Mediterranean Sea in the Slope Sea, which contributes to the admixed genetic background of this spawning area. This observation is compatible with tagging 552 data, which shows adult individuals that enter the Gulf of Mexico or the Mediterranean 553 554 Sea also visit the Slope Sea spawning area during the potential spawning season (Aalto 555 et al., 2023; Block et al., 2005). Otolith microchemistry data provides evidence of 556 individuals with Mediterranean Sea and Gulf of Mexico origin compatible profiles in this area (Siskey et al., 2016). 557

Our results on demographic history of the ABFT support that the Slope Sea 558 559 component originated from the Gulf of Mexico population, and that mixing with the 560 Mediterranean population started later. Thus, even if evidence of spawning activity in 561 the Slope Sea dates back to the 1950s (Baglin, 1976; Mather et al., 1995) and could have started much earlier, it is most likely that the now observed genetic differentiation of 562 563 the Slope Sea is due to an increase in the immigration rates from the Mediterranean 564 component towards the Slope Sea. In fact, heterogeneous genetic profiles of individual 565 ABFT from the Slope Sea indicate a diverse genetic composition of spawners, a situation at odds with the scenario of an exclusively self-sustained population at equilibrium. 566 567 Moreover, previous studies using otoliths have reported highly variable proportions of 568 Mediterranean origin individuals in the western Atlantic across the last five decades 569 (Kerr et al., 2020; Rooker et al., 2019; Secor et al., 2015; Siskey et al. 2016) and Puncher 570 et al. (2022) detected that the proportion of individuals genetically assigned to 571 Mediterranean origin increased over the past two decades at some northwestern

572 Atlantic areas, particularly among individuals younger than 15 years, which is 573 compatible with dynamically changing migratory trends.

574 Demographic connectivity is of major importance for fisheries management, as it directly affects productivity and a stocks recruitment. Despite the limited knowledge 575 576 about the spawning dynamics in the Slope Sea, our data suggest asymmetrical genetic 577 connectivity towards the Slope Sea, possibly acting as a sink spawning area which is receiving rather than exporting individuals, though its admixed nature could hamper the 578 579 detection of gene flow from the Slope Sea towards the Mediterranean Sea and the Gulf 580 of Mexico. This highlights the importance of understanding the demographic 581 interdependence of the Slope Sea with the other components, especially in view of 582 recent studies proposing the Slope Sea as a major spawning ground (Hernández et al., 2022). One important knowledge gap is thus the understanding of Slope Sea born 583 584 individuals' life cycle. The currently observed genetic profiles are compatible with Slope Sea born individuals showing (i) Slope Sea spawning-site fidelity, (ii) limited spawning, 585 586 (iii) spawning in the Gulf of Mexico and (iv) MED-like individuals born in the Slope Sea spawning in the Mediterranean Sea. Unfortunately, weak genetic differentiation, typical 587 588 in marine fishes with large population sizes, together with the presence of intermediate 589 and heterogeneous (and presumably temporally variable in proportions) genetic profiles 590 hamper the clear identification of Slope Sea born individuals based solely on genetic markers. Thus, we suggest that exploration of the dynamics of these individuals may 591 592 require the use of integrated methods, such as the combination of genetic markers with 593 otolith microchemistry (Brophy et al., 2020). The capability of identifying Slope Sea born individuals and monitoring their presence across the ABFT distribution range, together 594 595 with an increase in larval sampling efforts in this spawning area, would allow us to obtain

and analyse temporal samples to understand their life cycle and estimate admixturerates in the Slope Sea across generations.

598

599 Evidence of the presence of Mediterranean origin fish in the Gulf of Mexico could

600 suggest recent changes in Atlantic bluefin tuna connectivity patterns

601 Overall, our results support a historical split which originated two ancestrally 602 differentiated populations in the western Atlantic and Mediterranean spawning grounds 603 followed by a subsequent split between the Gulf of Mexico and Slope Sea and trans-604 Atlantic unidirectional gene flow from the Mediterranean into western Atlantic 605 spawning grounds resulting in interbreeding in the Slope Sea and to a lesser extent in the Gulf of Mexico. While admixture in the Slope Sea is reflected in the larval and 606 607 juvenile individual genetic profiles, larvae captured in the Gulf of Mexico were pure 608 GOM-like. Previous work suggested weak input of Mediterranean alleles in the larvae 609 collected from the western Gulf of Mexico in the year 2014 (Johnstone et al., 2021). 610 However, we have not detected evidence of such genetic connectivity in larval samples from the western and eastern sides of the Gulf of Mexico collected before this date 611 612 (from years 2007 to 2010) despite using thousands of SNP genetic markers. 613 Nevertheless, the number of larvae collected in the Gulf of Mexico with individual 614 genetic profile available for this study remains limited (n=27) and the presence of MEDlike spawning adults suggests potential genetic connectivity between the 615 Mediterranean Sea and the Gulf of Mexico. Given that Slope Sea individuals' ancestry 616 617 proportions cover the range of MED-like individual genetic profiles, it would also be 618 possible that these MED-like individuals have their origin in the Slope Sea. The detection

of MED-like individuals in the Gulf of Mexico originating from the Slope Sea is made likelydue to its proximity.

621 Due to the heterogeneous profile of the Slope Sea, the observed proportion of 622 MED-like individuals in the Gulf of Mexico originated in the Slope Sea could only be 623 explained by a high number of Slope Sea MED-like individuals entering the Gulf of 624 Mexico, unless MED-like individuals originated in the Slope Sea under a scenario of even higher inflow from the eastern Atlantic. Otolith microchemistry analyses revealed that 625 626 genetically MED-like individuals captured in the Gulf of Mexico showed an otolith isotopic composition of oxygen (δ^{18} O) intermediate between the Gulf of Mexico and the 627 Mediterranean spawning areas, suggesting that these were probably not born in the 628 629 Gulf of Mexico. Interestingly, these intermediate values are consistent with the 630 proposed signature range of a potential third contingent, compatible with a Slope Sea 631 or Mediterranean origin of individuals showing early and/or more intense migratory 632 behaviour (Brophy et al., 2020). These observations allow for different possible origins 633 of the MED-like individuals captured in the Gulf of Mexico. Additional observations of 634 the genetic composition of adult ABFT spawning in the Slope Sea coupled with further 635 knowledge about the migratory behaviour of Mediterranean ABFT would be needed to 636 assign the origin of these MED-like individuals more accurately.

Regardless of the origin of the MED-like individuals in the Gulf of Mexico, a few dozen migrants exchanged per generation, if effectively spawning and not affected by negative selection, is theoretically sufficient to erase genetic differentiation between populations (Gagnaire et al., 2015; Lowe & Allendorf, 2010; Waples, 1998). The low F_{ST} values reported in this study are common among marine fishes with large population sizes, high dispersal rates and wide-ranging distributions (da Fonseca et al., 2022;

643 Fuentes-Pardo et al., 2023; Hauser & Carvalho, 2008). While genetic differentiation of ABFT between the Mediterranean Sea and the Gulf of Mexico could persist despite 644 645 admixed individuals in the Slope Sea, the number of migrants detected in the Gulf of 646 Mexico is theoretically expected to lead to genetic homogeneity between eastern and 647 western born ABFT and is thus not easily compatible with significant F_{ST} values. 648 Histological inspection confirmed the presence of at least one MED-like female which had spawned less than 48 h before capture in the Gulf of Mexico, suggesting that MED-649 650 like individuals spawn in the Gulf of Mexico. One possible explanation for the observed 651 levels of genetic differentiation would be negative selection against Mediterranean 652 genes preventing successful gene flow. Hence, we have explored different sources of 653 genetic variation, which could help to explain the maintenance of genetic differentiation 654 between highly demographically connected populations. More specifically, we have explored the effect of locally adaptive alleles, which could maintain genetic 655 656 differentiation in the presence of gene flow (Tigano & Friesen, 2016) and inter-specific 657 introgression, which can trigger different evolutionary processes, such as the input of adaptive alleles (Huerta-Sánchez et al., 2014) or the contribution to reproductive 658 659 isolation (Duranton et al., 2020). However, we did not find evidence to confirm the 660 maintenance of genetic differentiation through either local adaptation or reproductive 661 isolation. These would lead to much higher levels of F_{ST} at loci involved in incompatibilities and/or selection than the ones observed in this work. Besides, 662 genome-wide and homogeneously distributed genetic differentiation between 663 664 Mediterranean Sea and Gulf of Mexico reference individuals at neutral alleles reflects 665 that differentiation is not primarily driven by introgression or adaptation, but by the 666 effect of historical long-term isolation between Mediterranean and Atlantic populations,

667 as indicated by their inferred demographic history. Moreover, interbreeding in the Slope 668 Sea implies genetic compatibility between GOM-like and MED-like individuals, which 669 makes the barrier to gene flow hypothesis unlikely to explain the maintenance of genetic 670 differentiation. Another possibility is that selection undetected in this study impedes 671 incorporation of MED-like alleles in the Gulf of Mexico. On the one hand, widespread 672 polygenic selection remains difficult to reject based on outlier detection tests as the ones used here, as these are underpowered to detect weakly selected loci, though 673 674 genetic differences are unlikely maintained by weak polygenic selection in the presence 675 of gene flow. On the other hand, the use of reduced representation sequencing could 676 lead to missed localized selective sweeps. Analyses based on whole genome sequencing 677 data would allow one to detect adaptation signals missed in our study. Alternatively, we propose that the currently observed ancestry patterns could be explained by recent 678 679 secondary contact following genetic divergence of both ancestral populations after long-680 term isolation with reduced or no migration, and that the high observed migration rates, 681 in the absence of barriers to gene flow and if sustained over time, could ultimately lead 682 to genetic homogenization and the consequently the loss of genetic differentiation.

683

684 *Possible drivers and implications of changes in inter-spawning area connectivity*

The observed levels of genetic differentiation, hardly compatible with the high level of gene flow suggested by our results in constant equilibrium, suggest that connectivity patterns between ABFT spawning grounds could be subjected to temporal changes and that an increase in migration from the Mediterranean Sea towards the known western Atlantic spawning areas could have a genetic homogenizing effect. Such a homogenizing effect is expected to be correlated with migration rates and the effective population size

691 (Ne) of the recipient population (Gagnaire et al., 2015; Lowe & Allendorf, 2010), which in turn relates to the number of adult individuals among other factors (Waples, 2022) 692 693 and would consequently be affected by fluctuations in the population's abundance. The 694 abundance of ABFT stocks have undergone strong changes during the last ~60 years. 695 After both the western and the eastern Atlantic stocks reached a critical status, including 696 the collapse of several fisheries around the 1960-1970s (Fromentin, 2009; Porch et al., 2019), the western Atlantic stock has not recovered as rapidly as the eastern Atlantic 697 698 stock (of Mediterranean origin), whose estimated abundance has been one order of 699 magnitude larger for several decades (ICCAT, 2017), despite decades of conservation 700 efforts. This slow recovery could result from a regime shift over the last decades, due to 701 the combination of oceanographic changes in the equatorial Atlantic and overfishing 702 (Fromentin, Reygondeau, et al., 2014) possibly affecting both migration rates and 703 effective population sizes. Fluctuations in the abundance and distribution of eastern 704 ABFT during the last century were largely explained by the Atlantic Multi-decadal 705 Oscillation (AMO) (Faillettaz et al., 2019) and long-term trends in temperature (Ravier & 706 Fromentin, 2004). Moreover, coinciding with the last negative AMO period starting in 707 the 1960s, ABFT had disappeared from several North-East Atlantic areas where it is 708 reappearing during the current positive AMO phase starting in the mid-1990s (Aarestrup 709 et al., 2022; Horton et al., 2020; Nøttestad et al., 2020). Furthermore, increasing catches of ABFT in Greenland waters show that the northern limit of ABFT distribution was 710 711 expanded northwards during the last decade by mostly individuals of Mediterranean 712 genetic origin (Jansen et al., 2021). Based on electronic tagging data, the proportion of 713 individuals of eastern origin present in the western Atlantic has also increased during 714 the last two decades (Aalto et al., 2021). Interestingly, AMO positive or warm phases as

715 well as current global warming involve an increase in habitat suitability in most of these 716 northern areas (Faillettaz et al., 2019; Fromentin, Reygondeau, et al., 2014). In summary, 717 ABFT populations' sizes, distribution and migratory behaviour have been undergoing 718 changes during the last decades, probably due to changes in environmental conditions, 719 fishing pressure, conservation efforts or combined effects of these that have affected 720 populations in different manners. These changes could explain migration intensification from the recently expanded eastern stock towards the more slowly recovering western 721 722 Atlantic stock. Our analyses support that the recent short-term genetic effects of 723 immigration on the variance in ancestries are much stronger in the Slope Sea than in the 724 Gulf of Mexico. This could be due to behavioural preferences or favourable conditions 725 which would make it easier for Mediterranean individuals to reach and reproduce in the 726 Slope Sea, or due to a smaller effective population size compared to the Gulf of Mexico. 727 May westward migration rates be consequently strongly increasing, the homogenizing 728 effect of this unidirectional migration would ultimately lead to the genetic swamping of 729 the GOM-like genetic component. It is thus possible that genetic differentiation is 730 detected in the existing samples because gene flow is relatively recent relative to mean 731 generation times estimated to average 9.6 years for the western stock (Collette et al., 732 2011), and that this genetic divergence will be attenuated across generations in the 733 future. While genetic connectivity does not necessarily equate with demographic dependence, genetic erosion would not necessarily imply demographic decline either, 734 735 but could have more unpredictable demographic consequences.

736

737 Inter-specific gene introgression from albacore to Atlantic bluefin tuna

738 We detected for the first-time signatures of introgression from albacore tuna in the nuclear genome of the ABFT, which contradicts a previous report (Ciezarek et al., 2018). 739 740 For the D-statistic analysis, the Southern bluefin tuna was used as an out-group clade to define the ancestral state, which could not be accurate according to a previous study on 741 742 the phylogeny of the genus (Díaz-Arce et al., 2016), potentially biasing the results, 743 especially if historical introgression events among the explored species involved the 744 Southern bluefin tuna. However, TreeMix analysis, for which no assumption on the 745 ancestral state were made, did not revealed such gene-flow events even when 746 increasing the number of allowed migration events to five. This, together with the 747 consistency between both analyses based on the nuclear genome and the proportion of 748 mitochondrial introgressed ABFT individuals across spawning areas, support the validity 749 of the Southern bluefin tuna as an out-group for the ABBA/BABA tests performed in this 750 work. The most probable inter-lineage gene flow event estimated by the TreeMix 751 analysis happened between the albacore and the ABFT Mediterranean population. The 752 presence of mitochondrial introgression has also been reported in PBFT (Chow & Kishino, 1995); however, although we included very few PBFT samples, we did not find 753 754 any sign of nuclear introgression in this species. Considering that ABFT and PBFT evolved 755 from a recent common ancestor (Díaz-Arce et al., 2016) and that they show very little 756 genetic divergence, our results suggest that introgression between albacore tuna and ABFT happened after the split between the ABFT and PBFT lineages. Thus, to explain the 757 758 presence of albacore-like mitochondrial genomes in PBFT, we hypothesize either 759 parallel introgression events between albacore tuna and both ABFT and PBFT, or that 760 mitochondrial introgressed genomes present in PBFT have been introgressed through 761 genetic exchanges with the ABFT. Likewise, among ABFT individuals, the signal of

762 introgression from albacore is stronger in the Mediterranean and the Slope Sea and 763 nearly absent in the Gulf of Mexico. The gradient of albacore ancestry in ABFT spawning 764 areas further suggests that this introgression occurred (or has been more intense) in the Mediterranean ABFT population, where the signal at the nuclear genome is strongest 765 766 and where spawning areas for both species overlap (Alemany et al. 2010), and then 767 diffused towards the Slope Sea and to a lesser extent the Gulf of Mexico through multigenerational gene flow. These different introgression signal intensities from east 768 769 to west support gene flow between the Mediterranean Sea and Slope Sea spawning 770 components, which is in accordance with the admixed nature of Slope Sea individuals. 771 Besides, the nearly complete absence of both nuclear and mitochondrial introgression 772 in Gulf of Mexico individuals suggests that introgression happened after the split 773 between MED-like and GOM-like ancestral lineages and is consistent with the inferred 774 scenario of historically restricted gene flow from the Mediterranean Sea to the Gulf of Mexico, which contrasts with the frequency of MED-like spawners observed in the Gulf 775 776 of Mexico. Overall, the east-west gradient of introgressed albacore alleles confirms the inferred connectivity patterns presented in this study. However, this together with the 777 778 fact that the contribution of albacore introgression to genetic differentiation between 779 Mediterranean Sea and Gulf of Mexico ABFT populations appears to be limited at best 780 and therefore it could contribute but not explain the maintenance of genetic 781 differentiation between MED-like and GOM-like individuals, strongly suggests that other 782 mechanisms, such as local adaptation, maintain genetic differentiation in the presence 783 of gene flow, or that migration towards the Gulf of Mexico has increased recently. We 784 identified a particular genomic region with characteristics typical of a chromosomal 785 inversion, such as high linkage disequilibrium and increased heterozygosity values in

786 samples occupying intermediate positions in a local PCA (Barth et al., 2019; Jiménez-Mena et al., 2020; Puncher et al., 2019), through outlier variant scan analysis. Our 787 788 analysis supports that, as reported for other species (Jay et al., 2018), the origin of this inversion was introduced into the ABFT genome as the result of a past introgression 789 790 event from albacore tuna. This region aggregates a high number of outlier genetic 791 markers. However, high linkage disequilibrium could bias towards the detection of false positives within this region due to the synergic signal of dozens of variants. With the aim 792 793 of making the least possible assumptions, the a priori grouping of individuals required 794 by the method for outlier detection implemented in BayeScan was made based on 795 location. Not excluding MED-like individuals captured in the Gulf of Mexico could lead 796 to type I errors. Because we were more interested in reducing the risk of type I error, 797 we applied relaxed filters for candidate outlier loci selection and also considered outliers 798 detected by a complementary method where no assumptions were made. We could not 799 associate the presence of this introgression nor chromosomal inversion with ecological 800 or environmental factors; yet, introgression represents an important source of genetic adaptive variation playing an important role favouring speciation through processes 801 802 such as introgression of favoured alleles (Arnold & Martin, 2009; Clarkson et al., 2014; 803 Hedrick, 2013) or reproductive isolation (Abbott et al., 2013; Duranton et al., 2020). 804 Moreover, the literature abounds with examples showing that chromosomal inversions are associated with local adaptation in the presence of gene flow (Barth, Berg, et al., 805 806 2017; Berg et al., 2016; Huang et al., 2020; Le Moan et al., 2021; Mérot et al., 2021; 807 Thorstensen et al., 2022; Wellenreuther & Bernatchez, 2018). Given that allele 808 frequency differences between the Mediterranean and the Gulf of Mexico components 809 are stronger in the candidate chromosomal inversion than the mean genome-wide

differentiation, ascertaining its role in the ABFT adaptation could be of great relevance
to understand the species resilience to the already predicted changes in environmental
conditions (Erauskin-Extramiana et al., 2019; Muhling et al., 2011).

813

814 Implications for Atlantic bluefin tuna conservation and management

815 Conservation of ABFT is challenged by past and future fishing pressure (Fromentin, Bonhommeau, et al., 2014; Secor et al., 2015), which has sharply increased since 2018 816 817 following the rebuilding of the Mediterranean ABFT population (ICCAT, 2023), and by 818 changes in environmental conditions (often interacting with fishing pressure), which 819 have been shown to alter population size and productivity, migratory behaviour and 820 spatial distribution (Ravier & Fromentin, 2004). From a conservation perspective, 821 hybridization between genetically differentiated lineages, in this case between GOM-822 like and MED-like individuals, could increase each population's genetic diversity, leading 823 to the incorporation of potentially adaptive genomic variation and reducing vulnerability 824 to environmental changes (Brauer et al., 2023). However, strong unidirectional gene flow could provoke genetic swamping of the western Atlantic spawning areas 825 826 jeopardizing ABFT genetic diversity (Roberts et al., 2010). In this sense, large effective 827 population sizes, which could increase following a rebuilding of abundance at the 828 different spawning areas (Hoey et al., 2022) would counteract the homogenizing effect of genetic drift. In the absence of accurate estimations of ABFT effective populations 829 830 sizes (Puncher et al., 2018), further genetic monitoring of temporal samples could help 831 to understand potential ongoing trends in genetic diversity conservation (Hoban et al., 832 2014; Oosting et al., 2019).

833 From a fisheries management perspective, the confirmation of ongoing admixture in the Slope Sea challenges the paradigm of two isolated ABFT stocks. 834 However, large knowledge gaps related to the dynamics of Slope Sea individuals, the 835 836 magnitude of the Slope Sea spawning in terms of recruitment, and its demographic 837 connectivity with other components hinder explicit modelling of it as a distinct stock. 838 Nonetheless, the recently adopted management procedure (ICCAT, 2023) does explicitly consider spawning in the Slope Sea. Our study highlights the need for further monitoring 839 840 combining multidisciplinary data such as larval sampling, tagging, otolith microchemistry signature and genetic origin to understand the Slope Sea population dynamics and the 841 relevance of this spawning area in demographic and evolutionary terms. 842

843

844 Author contributions

NDA, HA and NRE designed research. NDA, PAG, SAH, AP and NRE contributed analytical
tools. DER, JFW, PA, FA, RA, SD, ARH, FSK, JMQ and JRR contributed samples. NDA
analysed data. NDA, PAG, DER, JFW, SAH, JMF, DB, ML, IF, NG, JR, HA and NRE
interpreted data. NDA wrote the article, with insightful contributions from all authors.
All authors revised the manuscript and agreed with its publication.

850

851 Acknowledgements

We thank all the participants of the GBYP biological sampling programme, in particular Naiara Serrano, Xiker Salaberria and Inma Martín for tissue sample handling and storage, Iraide Artetxe-Arrate and Ai Kimoto for database management and Iñaki Mendibil and Elisabete Bilbao for excellent laboratory work. We also thank Marty Kardos for his valuable comments, contributing to the quality improvement of this manuscript. This

857 study has been carried out under the provision of the ICCAT Atlantic Wide Research Program for Bluefin Tuna (GBYP), funded by the European Community (Grant 858 SI2/542789), Canada, Croatia, Japan, Norway, Turkey, the United States (NMFS) 859 NA11NMF4720107), Chinese Taipei and the ICCAT Secretariat. This work was also 860 861 supported by a grant (project GENGES) and a pre-doctoral fellowship to ND-A, from the 862 Department of Agriculture and Fisheries of the Basque Government. The contents of the article do not necessarily reflect the point of view of ICCAT or of the other funders. This 863 manuscript is contribution number 1186 from the Marine Research Division of AZTI. 864

865

866 Data Availability Statement

De-multiplexed sequences are deposited in the SRA (Bioproject PRJNA804694). Scripts used to perform the analyses described in this manuscript can be found at <u>https://github.com/rodriguez-ezpeleta/ABFT popgentrace</u>.

870

871

872 Benefit-Sharing Statement

This research is a result of a collaborative agreement between partners which are all included as co-authors. Each partner respected the Nagoya Protocol on Access and benefit-sharing entered into force on the 12 October 2014 produced by the United Nations Convention on Biological Diversity to carry out its activities under this Agreement and performed the necessary formalities to the competent authorities.

878

879

880 Ethics statement

- 881 Fish samples used in this study were provided by fisheries and therefore there are no
- 882 ethical guidelines applicable.

883

884 Declaration of Interests

- 885 The authors declare no competing interests.
- 886
- 887

888 References

- Aalto, E. A., S. Dedman, M. J. W. Stokesbury, R. J. Schallert, M. Castleton, and B. A. Block. 2023.
 Evidence of bluefin tuna (*Thunnus thynnus*) spawning in the Slope Sea region of the
 Northwest Atlantic from electronic tags. ICES Journal of Marine Science., 80, 861-877.
- Aalto, E. A., F. Ferretti, M. V. Lauretta, J. F. Walter, M. J. W. Stokesbury, R. J. Schallert, and B. A.
 Block. 2021. Stock-of-origin catch estimation of Atlantic bluefin tuna (Thunnus thynnus)
 based on observed spatial distributions. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic
 Sciences **78**:1193-1204.
- Aarestrup, K., H. Baktoft, K. Birnie-Gauvin, A. Sundelöf, M. Cardinale, G. Quilez-Badia, I. Onandia,
 M. Casini, E. E. Nielsen, A. Koed, F. Alemany, and B. R. MacKenzie. 2022. First tagging
 data on large Atlantic bluefin tuna returning to Nordic waters suggest repeated
 behaviour and skipped spawning. Scientific Reports 12:11772.
- Abbott, R., D. Albach, S. Ansell, J. W. Arntzen, S. J. E. Baird, N. Bierne, J. Boughman, A. Brelsford,
 C. A. Buerkle, R. Buggs, R. K. Butlin, U. Dieckmann, F. Eroukhmanoff, A. Grill, S. H. Cahan,
 J. S. Hermansen, G. Hewitt, A. G. Hudson, C. Jiggins, J. Jones, B. Keller, T. Marczewski, J.
 Mallet, P. Martinez-Rodriguez, M. Möst, S. Mullen, R. Nichols, A. W. Nolte, C. Parisod, K.
 Pfennig, A. M. Rice, M. G. Ritchie, B. Seifert, C. M. Smadja, R. Stelkens, J. M. Szymura, R.
 Väinölä, J. B. W. Wolf, and D. Zinner. 2013. Hybridization and speciation. Journal of
 Evolutionary Biology 26:229-246.
- Alemany, F., L. Quintanilla, P. Velez-Belchí, A. García, D. Cortés, J. M. Rodríguez, M. L. Fernández
 de Puelles, C. González-Pola, and J. L. López-Jurado. 2010. Characterization of the
 spawning habitat of Atlantic bluefin tuna and related species in the Balearic Sea
 (western Mediterranean). Progress in Oceanography 86:21-38.
- Alexander, D. H., J. Novembre, and K. Lange. 2009. Fast model-based estimation of ancestry in
 unrelated individuals. Genome Research, 19, 1655-1664.
- Alvarado Bremer, J. R., J. Viñas, J. Mejuto, B. Ely, and C. Pla. 2005. Comparative phylogeography
 of Atlantic bluefin tuna and swordfish: the combined effects of vicariance, secondary
 contact, introgression, and population expansion on the regional phylogenies of two
 highly migratory pelagic fishes. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 36:169-187.
- Aranda, G., L. Aragón, A. Corriero, C. C. Mylonas, F. d. la Gándara, A. Belmonte, and A. Medina.
 2011. GnRHa-induced spawning in cage-reared Atlantic bluefin tuna: An evaluation
 using stereological quantification of ovarian post-ovulatory follicles. Aquaculture
 317:255-259.
- 921 Arnold, M. L., and N. H. Martin. 2009. Adaptation by introgression. Journal of Biology 8:82.

- Arregui, I., B. Galuardi, N. Goñi, C. H. Lam, I. Fraile, J. Santiago, M. Lutcavage, and H. Arrizabalaga.
 2018. Movements and geographic distribution of juvenile bluefin tuna in the Northeast
 Atlantic, described through internal and satellite archival tags. ICES Journal of Marine
 Science **75**:1560-1572.
- Baglin, R. 1976. A preliminary study of the gonadal development and fecundity of the western
 Atlantic bluefin tuna. Collective Volume of Scientific Papers ICCAT 5:279-289.
- Barth, J. M. I., P. R. Berg, P. R. Jonsson, S. Bonanomi, H. Corell, J. Hemmer-Hansen, K. S. Jakobsen,
 K. Johannesson, P. E. Jorde, H. Knutsen, P.-O. Moksnes, B. Star, N. C. Stenseth, H.
 Svedäng, S. Jentoft, and C. André. 2017. Genome architecture enables local adaptation
 of Atlantic cod despite high connectivity. Molecular Ecology 26:4452-4466.
- Barth, J. M. I., M. Damerau, M. Matschiner, S. Jentoft, and R. Hanel. 2017. Genomic
 Differentiation and Demographic Histories of Atlantic and Indo-Pacific Yellowfin Tuna
 (Thunnus albacares) Populations. Genome Biology and Evolution 9:1084-1098.
- Barth, J. M. I., D. Villegas-Ríos, C. Freitas, E. Moland, B. Star, C. André, H. Knutsen, I. Bradbury, J.
 Dierking, C. Petereit, D. Righton, J. Metcalfe, K. S. Jakobsen, E. M. Olsen, and S. Jentoft.
 2019. Disentangling structural genomic and behavioural barriers in a sea of connectivity.
 Molecular Ecology 28:1394-1411.
- Begg, G. A., K. D. Friedland, and J. B. Pearce. 1999. Stock identification and its role in stock
 assessment and fisheries management: an overview. Fisheries Research 43:1-8.
- Berg, P. R., B. Star, C. Pampoulie, M. Sodeland, J. M. I. Barth, H. Knutsen, K. S. Jakobsen, and S.
 Jentoft. 2016. Three chromosomal rearrangements promote genomic divergence
 between migratory and stationary ecotypes of Atlantic cod. Scientific Reports 6:23246.
- Bernatchez, L. 2016. On the maintenance of genetic variation and adaptation to environmental
 change: considerations from population genomics in fishes. Journal of Fish Biology
 89:2519-2556.
- Bernatchez, L., M. Wellenreuther, C. Araneda, D. T. Ashton, J. M. I. Barth, T. D. Beacham, G. E.
 Maes, J. T. Martinsohn, K. M. Miller, K. A. Naish, J. R. Ovenden, C. R. Primmer, H. Young
 Suk, N. O. Therkildsen, and R. E. Withler. 2017. Harnessing the Power of Genomics to
 Secure the Future of Seafood. Trends in Ecology & Evolution **32**:665-680.
- Block, B. A., S. L. H. Teo, A. Walli, A. Boustany, M. J. W. Stokesbury, C. J. Farwell, K. C. Weng, H.
 Dewar, and T. D. Williams. 2005. Electronic tagging and population structure of Atlantic
 bluefin tuna. Nature 434:1121-1127.
- Bonanomi, S., L. Pellissier, N. O. Therkildsen, R. B. Hedeholm, A. Retzel, D. Meldrup, S. M. Olsen,
 A. Nielsen, C. Pampoulie, J. Hemmer-Hansen, M. S. Wisz, P. Grønkjær, and E. E. Nielsen.
 2015. Archived DNA reveals fisheries and climate induced collapse of a major fishery.
 Scientific Reports 5:15395.
- Brauer, C. J., J. Sandoval-Castillo, K. Gates, M. P. Hammer, P. J. Unmack, L. Bernatchez, and L. B.
 Beheregaray. 2023. Natural hybridization reduces vulnerability to climate change.
 Nature Climate Change 13:282-289.
- Brophy, D., N. Rodríguez-Ezpeleta, I. Fraile, and H. Arrizabalaga. 2020. Combining genetic
 markers with stable isotopes in otoliths reveals complexity in the stock structure of
 Atlantic bluefin tuna (Thunnus thynnus). Scientific Reports 10:14675.
- Brown-Peterson, N. J., D. M. Wyanski, F. Saborido-Rey, B. J. Macewicz, and S. K. Lowerre Barbieri. 2011. A Standardized Terminology for Describing Reproductive Development
 in Fishes. Marine and Coastal Fisheries 3:52-70.
- Cadrin, L. A. Kerr, and S. Mariani, editors. Stock Identification Methods (Second Edition) (pp. 297–327). Academic Press, San Diego.
- 969 Chow, S., and H. Kishino. 1995. Phylogenetic relationships between tuna species of the genus
 970 Thunnus (Scombridae: Teleostei): Inconsistent implications from morphology, nuclear
 971 and mitochondrial genomes. Journal of Molecular Evolution 41:741-748.
- 972 Ciezarek, A. G., O. G. Osborne, O. N. Shipley, E. J. Brooks, S. R. Tracey, J. D. McAllister, L. D.
 973 Gardner, M. J. E. Sternberg, B. Block, and V. Savolainen. 2018. Phylotranscriptomic

- 974 Insights into the Diversification of Endothermic Thunnus Tunas. Molecular Biology and975 Evolution **36**:84-96.
- 976 Clarkson, C. S., D. Weetman, J. Essandoh, A. E. Yawson, G. Maslen, M. Manske, S. G. Field, M.
 977 Webster, T. Antão, B. MacInnis, D. Kwiatkowski, and M. J. Donnelly. 2014. Adaptive
 978 introgression between Anopheles sibling species eliminates a major genomic island but
 979 not reproductive isolation. Nature Communications 5:4248.
- Collette, B. B., K. E. Carpenter, B. A. Polidoro, M. J. Juan-Jordá, A. Boustany, D. J. Die, C. Elfes, W.
 Fox, J. Graves, L. R. Harrison, R. McManus, C. V. Minte-Vera, R. Nelson, V. Restrepo, J.
 Schratwieser, C.-L. Sun, A. Amorim, M. Brick Peres, C. Canales, G. Cardenas, S.-K. Chang,
 W.-C. Chiang, N. de Oliveira Leite, H. Harwell, R. Lessa, F. L. Fredou, H. A. Oxenford, R.
 Serra, K.-T. Shao, R. Sumaila, S.-P. Wang, R. Watson, and E. Yáñez. 2011. High Value and
 Long Life Double Jeopardy for Tunas and Billfishes. Science 333:291-292.
- 986da Fonseca, R., P. Campos, A. Rey de la Iglesia, G. Barroso, L. Bergeron, M. Nande, F. Tuya, S.987Abidli, M. Pérez, I. Riveiro, P. Carrera, A. Jurado-Ruzafa, M. T. G. Santamaria, R. Faria, A.988Machado, M. Fonseca, E. Froufe, and L. F. C. Castro. 2022. Population genomics reveals989the underlying structure of the small pelagic European sardine and suggests low990connectivitywithin991https://doi.org/10.22541/au.161628445.52373083/v3
- Díaz-Arce, N., H. Arrizabalaga, H. Murua, X. Irigoien, and N. Rodríguez-Ezpeleta. 2016. RAD-seq
 derived genome-wide nuclear markers resolve the phylogeny of tunas. Molecular
 Phylogenetics and Evolution **102**:202-207.
- Durand, E. Y., N. Patterson, D. Reich, and M. Slatkin. 2011. Testing for ancient admixture
 between closely related populations. Molecular Biology and Evolution 28:2239-2252.
- Duranton, M., F. Allal, S. Valière, O. Bouchez, F. Bonhomme, and P.-A. Gagnaire. 2020. The
 contribution of ancient admixture to reproductive isolation between European sea bass
 lineages. Evolution Letters 4:226-242.
- Erauskin-Extramiana, M., H. Arrizabalaga, A. J. Hobday, A. Cabré, L. Ibaibarriaga, I. Arregui, H.
 Murua, and G. Chust. 2019. Large-scale distribution of tuna species in a warming ocean.
 Global Change Biology 25:2043-2060.
- Etter, P. D., S. Bassham, P. A. Hohenlohe, E. A. Johnson, and W. A. Cresko. 2012. SNP discovery
 and genotyping for evolutionary genetics using RAD sequencing. Pages 157-178, In V.
 Orgogozo & M. Rockman (Eds.), Molecular methods for evolutionary genetics. Springer.
- Faillettaz, R., G. Beaugrand, E. Goberville, and R. R. Kirby. 2019. Atlantic Multidecadal
 Oscillations drive the basin-scale distribution of Atlantic bluefin tuna. Science Advances
 5:eaar6993.
- Foll, M., and O. Gaggiotti. 2008. A Genome-Scan Method to Identify Selected Loci Appropriate
 for Both Dominant and Codominant Markers: A Bayesian Perspective. Genetics 180:977 993.
- Fraser, D. J., and L. Bernatchez. 2001. Adaptive evolutionary conservation: towards a unified
 concept for defining conservation units. Molecular Ecology **10**:2741-2752.
- Fromentin, J.-M. 2009. Lessons from the past: investigating historical data from bluefin tuna
 fisheries. Fish and Fisheries **10**:197-216.
- Fromentin, J.-M., S. Bonhommeau, H. Arrizabalaga, and L. T. Kell. 2014a. The spectre of
 uncertainty in management of exploited fish stocks: The illustrative case of Atlantic
 bluefin tuna. Marine Policy 47:8-14.
- Fromentin, J.-M., and J. E. Powers. 2005. Atlantic bluefin tuna: population dynamics, ecology,
 fisheries and management. Fish and Fisheries 6:281-306.
- Fromentin, J.-M., G. Reygondeau, S. Bonhommeau, and G. Beaugrand. 2014b. Oceanographic
 changes and exploitation drive the spatio-temporal dynamics of Atlantic bluefin tuna
 (Thunnus thynnus). Fisheries Oceanography 23:147-156.

- Fuentes-Pardo, A. P., E. D. Farrell, M. E. Pettersson, C. G. Sprehn, and L. Andersson. 2023. The
 genomic basis and environmental correlates of local adaptation in the Atlantic horse
 mackerel (Trachurus trachurus). Evolutionary Applications, 16, 1201–1219.
- Gagnaire, P.-A., T. Broquet, D. Aurelle, F. Viard, A. Souissi, F. Bonhomme, S. Arnaud-Haond, and
 N. Bierne. 2015. Using neutral, selected, and hitchhiker loci to assess connectivity of
 marine populations in the genomic era. Evolutionary Applications 8:769-786.
- Galuardi, B., F. Royer, W. Golet, J. Logan, J. Neilson, and M. Lutcavage. 2010. Complex migration
 routes of Atlantic bluefin tuna (Thunnus thynnus) question current population structure
 paradigm. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 67:966-976.
- Green, R. E., J. Krause, A. W. Briggs, T. Maricic, U. Stenzel, M. Kircher, N. Patterson, H. Li, W. Zhai,
 and M. H.-Y. Fritz. 2010. A draft sequence of the Neandertal genome. Science 328:710722.
- Gutenkunst, R. N., R. D. Hernandez, S. H. Williamson, and C. D. Bustamante. 2009. Inferring the
 joint demographic history of multiple populations from multidimensional SNP frequency
 data. PLoS genetics 5:e1000695.
- Hauser, L., and G. R. Carvalho. 2008. Paradigm shifts in marine fisheries genetics: ugly
 hypotheses slain by beautiful facts. Fish and Fisheries **9**:333-362.
- Hedrick, P. W. 2013. Adaptive introgression in animals: examples and comparison to new
 mutation and standing variation as sources of adaptive variation. Molecular Ecology
 22:4606-4618.
- Hernández, C. M., D. E. Richardson, I. I. Rypina, K. Chen, K. E. Marancik, K. Shulzitski, and J. K.
 Llopiz. 2022. Support for the Slope Sea as a major spawning ground for Atlantic bluefin
 tuna: evidence from larval abundance, growth rates, and particle-tracking simulations.
 Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences **79**:814-824.
- Hoban, S., J. A. Arntzen, M. W. Bruford, J. A. Godoy, A. Rus Hoelzel, G. Segelbacher, C. Vilà, and
 G. Bertorelle. 2014. Comparative evaluation of potential indicators and temporal
 sampling protocols for monitoring genetic erosion. Evolutionary Applications **7**:984-998.
- Hoey, J. A., K. W. Able, and M. L. Pinsky. 2022. Genetic decline and recovery of a demographically
 rebuilt fishery species. Molecular Ecology **31**:5684-5698.
- Hoffmann, A. A., and C. M. Sgrò. 2011. Climate change and evolutionary adaptation. Nature
 470:479-485.
- Horton, T. W., B. A. Block, A. Drumm, L. A. Hawkes, M. O'Cuaig, N. Ó. Maoiléidigh, R. O'Neill, R.
 J. Schallert, M. J. W. Stokesbury, and M. J. Witt. 2020. Tracking Atlantic bluefin tuna from
 foraging grounds off the west coast of Ireland. ICES Journal of Marine Science 77:20662077.
- Huang, K., R. L. Andrew, G. L. Owens, K. L. Ostevik, and L. H. Rieseberg. 2020. Multiple
 chromosomal inversions contribute to adaptive divergence of a dune sunflower
 ecotype. Molecular Ecology 29:2535-2549.
- Huerta-Sánchez, E., X. Jin, Asan, Z. Bianba, B. M. Peter, N. Vinckenbosch, Y. Liang, X. Yi, M. He,
 M. Somel, P. Ni, B. Wang, X. Ou, Huasang, J. Luosang, Z. X. P. Cuo, K. Li, G. Gao, Y. Yin,
 W. Wang, X. Zhang, X. Xu, H. Yang, Y. Li, J. Wang, J. Wang, and R. Nielsen. 2014. Altitude
 adaptation in Tibetans caused by introgression of Denisovan-like DNA. Nature 512:194197.
- Hutchinson, W. F. 2008. The dangers of ignoring stock complexity in fishery management: the
 case of the North Sea cod. Biology Letters 4:693-695.
- 1069ICCAT. 2023. Recommendation by ICCAT establishing a management procedure for Atlantic1070Bluefin tuna to be used for both the Western Atlantic and Eastern Atlantic and1071Mediterranean1072https://www.iccat.int/Documents/Recs/compendiopdf-e/2022-09-e.pdf.
- 1073ICCAT, S. 2017. Report of the Standing Committee on Research and Statistics (SCRS), Madrid,1074Spain,2017.1074ICCAT.
- 1075 https://www.iccat.int/Documents/Meetings/Docs/2017_SCRS_REP_ENG.pdf

- 1076ICCAT, S. 2019. Report of the Standing Committee on Resarch and Statistics (SCRS), Madrid,1077Spain,2019.
- 1078http://www.iccat.int/Documents/Meetings/Docs/2019/REPORTS/2019_SCRS_ENG.pdf1079ICCAT,S.2021.2020SRCSAdvicetotheComission.ICCAT,1080https://www.iccat.int/Documents/BienRep/REPEN20-21I-1.pdf.
- 1081ICCAT. 2023. Recommendation by ICCAT establishing a management procedure for Atlantic1082Bluefin Tuna to be used for both the Western Atlantic and Eastern Atlantic and1083Mediterranean1084https://www.iccat.int/Documents/Recs/compendiopdf-ecompendiopdfe/2022-09-1085e.pdf.
- Jansen, T., E. E. Nielsen, N. Rodriguez-Ezpeleta, H. Arrizabalaga, S. Post, and B. R. MacKenzie.
 2021. Atlantic bluefin tuna (Thunnus thynnus) in Greenland mixed-stock origin, diet,
 hydrographic conditions, and repeated catches in this new fringe area. Canadian Journal
 of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences **78**:400-408.
- Jay, P., A. Whibley, L. Frézal, M. Á. Rodríguez de Cara, R. W. Nowell, J. Mallet, K. K. Dasmahapatra,
 and M. Joron. 2018. Supergene Evolution Triggered by the Introgression of a
 Chromosomal Inversion. Current Biology 28:1839-1845.e1833.
- Jiménez-Mena, B., A. Le Moan, A. Christensen, M. van Deurs, H. Mosegaard, J. Hemmer-Hansen,
 and D. Bekkevold. 2020. Weak genetic structure despite strong genomic signal in lesser
 sandeel in the North Sea. Evolutionary Applications 13:376-387.
- Johnstone, C., M. Pérez, E. Malca, J. M. Quintanilla, T. Gerard, D. Lozano-Peral, F. Alemany, J.
 Lamkin, A. García, and R. Laiz-Carrión. 2021. Genetic connectivity between Atlantic
 bluefin tuna larvae spawned in the Gulf of Mexico and in the Mediterranean Sea. PeerJ
 9:e11568.
- Jombart, T., and I. Ahmed. 2011. adegenet 1.3-1: new tools for the analysis of genome-wide SNP
 data. Bioinformatics 27:3070-3071.
- 1102 Kerr, L. A., N. T. Hintzen, S. X. Cadrin, L. W. Clausen, M. Dickey-Collas, D. R. Goethel, E. M. C.
 1103 Hatfield, J. P. Kritzer, and R. D. M. Nash. 2016. Lessons learned from practical
 1104 approaches to reconcile mismatches between biological population structure and stock
 1105 units of marine fish. ICES Journal of Marine Science **74**:1708-1722.
- 1106 Kerr, L. A., Z. T. Whitener, S. X. Cadrin, M. R. Morse, D. H. Secor, and W. Golet. 2020. Mixed stock
 origin of Atlantic bluefin tuna in the U.S. rod and reel fishery (Gulf of Maine) and
 implications for fisheries management. Fisheries Research 224:105461.
- Kulathinal, R. J., L. S. Stevison, and M. A. Noor. 2009. The genomics of speciation in Drosophila:
 diversity, divergence, and introgression estimated using low-coverage genome
 sequencing. PLoS genetics 5:e1000550.
- Le Moan, A., D. Bekkevold, and J. Hemmer-Hansen. 2021. Evolution at two time-frames: ancient
 structural variants involved in post-glacial of the European plaice (*Pleuronectes platessa*). Heredity, 126, 668–683.
- Li, H. 2013. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM.
 arXiv, 1303.3997 [q-Bio].
- Li, H., B. Handsaker, A. Wysoker, T. Fennell, J. Ruan, N. Homer, G. Marth, G. Abecasis, R. Durbin,
 and G. P. D. P. Subgroup. 2009. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools.
 Bioinformatics 25:2078-2079.
- 1120 Lischer, H. E. L., and L. Excoffier. 2011. PGDSpider: an automated data conversion tool for 1121 connecting population genetics and genomics programs. Bioinformatics **28**:298-299.
- Lowe, W. H., and F. W. Allendorf. 2010. What can genetics tell us about population connectivity?
 Molecular Ecology 19:3038-3051.
- Luu, K., E. Bazin, and M. G. B. Blum. 2017. pcadapt: an R package to perform genome scans for
 selection based on principal component analysis. Molecular Ecology Resources 17:67 77.

- Mamoozadeh, N. R., J. E. Graves, and J. R. McDowell. 2020. Genome-wide SNPs resolve
 spatiotemporal patterns of connectivity within striped marlin (Kajikia audax), a broadly
 distributed and highly migratory pelagic species. Evolutionary Applications 13:677-698.
- Mariani, S., and D. Bekkevold. 2014. Chapter Fourteen The Nuclear Genome: Neutral and
 Adaptive Markers in Fisheries Science. Pages 297-327 in S. X.

1132 Mather, F. J., J. M. Mason, and A. C. Jones. 1995. Historical document : life history and fisheries 1133 of Atlantic bluefin tuna (p. 165). NOAA Technical Memorandum, NMFS-SEFSC.

- McPherson, G. 1991. Reproductive biology of yellowfin tuna in the eastern Australian Fishing
 Zone, with special reference to the north-western Coral Sea. Marine and Freshwater
 Research 42:465-477.
- Mérot, C., E. L. Berdan, H. Cayuela, H. Djambazian, A.-L. Ferchaud, M. Laporte, E. Normandeau,
 J. Ragoussis, M. Wellenreuther, and L. Bernatchez. 2021. Locally Adaptive Inversions
 Modulate Genetic Variation at Different Geographic Scales in a Seaweed Fly. Molecular
 Biology and Evolution 38:3953-3971.
- Muhling, B. A., S.-K. Lee, J. T. Lamkin, and Y. Liu. 2011. Predicting the effects of climate change
 on bluefin tuna (Thunnus thynnus) spawning habitat in the Gulf of Mexico. ICES Journal
 of Marine Science 68:1051-1062.
- Nikolic, N., F. Devloo-Delva, D. Bailleul, E. Noskova, C. Rougeux, C. Delord, P. Borsa, C. LiautardHaag, M. Hassan, A. D. Marie, P. Feutry, P. Grewe, C. Davies, J. Farley, D. Fernando, S.
 Biton-Porsmoguer, F. Poisson, D. Parker, A. Leone, J. Aulich, M. Lansdell, F. Marsac, and
 S. Arnaud-Haond. 2023. Stepping up to genome scan allows stock differentiation in the
 worldwide distributed blue shark Prionace glauca. Molecular Ecology **32**:1000-1019.
- 1149 Nøttestad, L., E. Boge, and K. Ferter. 2020. The comeback of Atlantic bluefin tuna (Thunnus
 1150 thynnus) to Norwegian waters. Fisheries Research 231:105689.
- 1151 Oosting, T., B. Star, J. H. Barrett, M. Wellenreuther, P. A. Ritchie, and N. J. Rawlence. 2019.
 1152 Unlocking the potential of ancient fish DNA in the genomic era. Evolutionary
 1153 Applications 12:1513-1522.
- Ovenden, J. R., O. Berry, D. J. Welch, R. C. Buckworth, and C. M. Dichmont. 2015. Ocean's eleven:
 a critical evaluation of the role of population, evolutionary and molecular genetics in the
 management of wild fisheries. Fish and Fisheries 16:125-159.
- Patterson, N. J., P. Moorjani, Y. Luo, S. Mallick, N. Rohland, Y. Zhan, T. Genschoreck, T. Webster,
 and D. Reich. 2012. Ancient admixture in human history. Genetics:genetics. 112.145037.
- Pickrell, J. K., and J. K. Pritchard. 2012. Inference of Population Splits and Mixtures from Genome Wide Allele Frequency Data. PLOS Genetics 8:e1002967.
- Porch, C. E., S. Bonhommeau, G. A. Diaz, A. Haritz, and G. Melvin. 2019. The journey from
 overfishing to sustainability for Atlantic bluefin tuna, Thunnus thynnus. In B. Block (Ed.).
 The future of bluefin tunas: Ecology, fisheries management, and conservation (pp. 3-44)
 John Hopkins University Press.
- Portik, D. M., A. D. Leaché, D. Rivera, M. F. Barej, M. Burger, M. Hirschfeld, M.-O. Rödel, D. C.
 Blackburn, and M. K. Fujita. 2017. Evaluating mechanisms of diversification in a Guineo-Congolian tropical forest frog using demographic model selection. Molecular Ecology
 26:5245-5263.
- Puncher, G. N., A. Cariani, G. E. Maes, J. Van Houdt, K. Herten, R. Cannas, N. Rodriguez-Ezpeleta,
 A. Albaina, A. Estonba, M. Lutcavage, A. Hanke, J. Rooker, J. S. Franks, J. M. Quattro, G.
 Basilone, I. Fraile, U. Laconcha, N. Goñi, A. Kimoto, D. Macías, F. Alemany, S. Deguara, S.
 W. Zgozi, F. Garibaldi, I. K. Oray, F. S. Karakulak, N. Abid, M. N. Santos, P. Addis, H.
 Arrizabalaga, and F. Tinti. 2018. Spatial dynamics and mixing of bluefin tuna in the
 Atlantic Ocean and Mediterranean Sea revealed using next-generation sequencing.
 Molecular Ecology Resources 18:620-638.
- Puncher, G. N., A. Hanke, D. Busawon, E. V. A. Sylvester, W. Golet, L. C. Hamilton, and S. A. Pavey.
 2022. Individual assignment of Atlantic bluefin tuna in the northwestern Atlantic Ocean using single nucleotide polymorphisms reveals an increasing proportion of migrants

- 1179from the eastern Atlantic Ocean. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences1180**79**:111-123.
- Puncher, G. N., S. Rowe, G. A. Rose, N. M. Leblanc, G. J. Parent, Y. Wang, and S. A. Pavey. 2019.
 Chromosomal inversions in the Atlantic cod genome: Implications for management of
 Canada's Northern cod stock. Fisheries Research 216:29-40.
- Purcell, S., B. Neale, K. Todd-Brown, L. Thomas, M. A. Ferreira, D. Bender, J. Maller, P. Sklar, P. I.
 de Bakker, M. J. Daly, and P. C. Sham. 2007. PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. Am J Hum Genet **81**:559-575.
- 1187 Ravier, C., and J.-M. Fromentin. 2004. Are the long-term fluctuations in Atlantic bluefin tuna
 1188 (Thunnus thynnus) population related to environmental changes? Fisheries
 1189 Oceanography 13:145-160.
- 1190Raymond, M. 1995. GENEPOP (version 1.2): population genetics software for exact tests and1191ecumenicism. The Journal of Heredity. 86:248-249.
- 1192Reiss, H., G. Hoarau, M. Dickey-Collas, and W. J. Wolff. 2009. Genetic population structure of1193marine fish: mismatch between biological and fisheries management units. Fish and1194Fisheries 10:361-395.
- Richardson, D. E., K. E. Marancik, J. R. Guyon, M. E. Lutcavage, B. Galuardi, C. H. Lam, H. J. Walsh,
 S. Wildes, D. A. Yates, and J. A. Hare. 2016a. Discovery of a spawning ground reveals
 diverse migration strategies in Atlantic bluefin tuna (Thunnus thynnus).
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 113:3299-3304.
- Richardson, D. E., K. E. Marancik, J. R. Guyon, M. E. Lutcavage, B. Galuardi, C. H. Lam, H. J. Walsh,
 S. Wildes, D. A. Yates, and J. A. Hare. 2016b. Reply to Safina and Walter et al.: Multiple
 lines of evidence for size-structured spawning migrations in western Atlantic bluefin
 tuna. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 113:E4262-E4263.
- Roberts, D. G., C. A. Gray, R. J. West, and D. J. Ayre. 2010. Marine genetic swamping: hybrids
 replace an obligately estuarine fish. Molecular Ecology **19**:508-520.
- Rochette, N. C., A. G. Rivera-Colón, and J. M. Catchen. 2019. Stacks 2: Analytical methods for
 paired-end sequencing improve RADseq-based population genomics. Molecular Ecology
 28:4737-4754.
- Rodríguez-Ezpeleta, N., N. Díaz-Arce, J. F. Walter III, D. E. Richardson, J. R. Rooker, L. Nøttestad,
 A. R. Hanke, J. S. Franks, S. Deguara, M. V. Lauretta, P. Addis, J. L. Varela, I. Fraile, N.
 Goñi, N. Abid, F. Alemany, I. K. Oray, J. M. Quattro, F. N. Sow, T. Itoh, F. S. Karakulak, P.
 J. Pascual-Alayón, M. N. Santos, Y. Tsukahara, M. Lutcavage, J.-M. Fromentin, and H.
 Arrizabalaga. 2019. Determining natal origin for improved management of Atlantic
 bluefin tuna. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 17:439-444.
- Rooker, J. R., H. Arrizabalaga, I. Fraile, D. H. Secor, D. L. Dettman, N. Abid, P. Addis, S. Deguara,
 F. S. Karakulak, A. Kimoto, O. Sakai, D. Macías, and M. N. Santos. 2014. Crossing the line:
 migratory and homing behaviors of Atlantic bluefin tuna. Marine Ecology Progress Series
 504:265-276.
- Rooker, J. R., I. Fraile, H. Liu, N. Abid, M. A. Dance, T. Itoh, A. Kimoto, Y. Tsukahara, E. RodriguezMarin, and H. Arrizabalaga. 2019. Wide-ranging temporal variation in transoceanic
 movement and population mixing of bluefin tuna in the North Atlantic Ocean. Frontiers
 in Marine Science 6:398.
- Rooker, J. R., D. H. Secor, G. DeMetrio, A. J. Kaufman, A. B. Ríos, and V. Ticina. 2008. Evidence of
 trans-Atlantic movement and natal homing of bluefin tuna from stable isotopes in
 otoliths. Marine Ecology Progress Series **368**:231-239.
- 1225Rypina, I. I., K. Chen, C. M. Hernández, L. J. Pratt, and J. K. Llopiz. 2019. Investigating the1226suitability of the Slope Sea for Atlantic bluefin tuna spawning using a high-resolution1227ocean circulation model. ICES Journal of Marine Science **76**:1666-1677.
- Safina, C. 2016. Data do not support new claims about bluefin tuna spawning or abundance.
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **113**:E4261-E4261.

- Schaefer, K. 1996. Spawning time, frequency, and batch fecundity of yellowfin tuna, Thunnus
 albacares, near Clipperton Atoll in the eastern Pacific ocien. Fish. Bull. 94:98-112.
- Secor, D. H., J. R. Rooker, B. I. Gahagan, M. R. Siskey, and R. W. Wingate. 2015. Depressed
 resilience of bluefin tuna in the western atlantic and age truncation. Conservation
 Biology 29:400-408.
- Siskey, M. R., M. J. Wilberg, R. J. Allman, B. K. Barnett, and D. H. Secor. 2016. Forty years of
 fishing: changes in age structure and stock mixing in northwestern Atlantic bluefin tuna
 (Thunnus thynnus) associated with size-selective and long-term exploitation. ICES
 Journal of Marine Science **73**:2518-2528.
- Stephenson, R. L. 1999. Stock complexity in fisheries management: a perspective of emerging
 issues related to population sub-units. Fisheries Research 43:247-249.
- Suda, A., I. Nishiki, Y. Iwasaki, A. Matsuura, T. Akita, N. Suzuki, and A. Fujiwara. 2019.
 Improvement of the Pacific bluefin tuna (Thunnus orientalis) reference genome and development of male-specific DNA markers. Scientific Reports 9:14450.
- Thorstensen, M. J., P. T. Euclide, J. D. Jeffrey, Y. Shi, J. R. Treberg, D. A. Watkinson, E. C. Enders,
 W. A. Larson, Y. Kobayashi, and K. M. Jeffries. 2022. A chromosomal inversion may
 facilitate adaptation despite periodic gene flow in a freshwater fish. Ecology and
 Evolution 12:e8898.
- 1248Tigano, A., and V. L. Friesen. 2016. Genomics of local adaptation with gene flow. Molecular1249Ecology 25:2144-2164.
- Valenzuela-Quiñonez, F. 2016. How fisheries management can benefit from genomics? Briefings
 in Functional Genomics 15:352-357.
- Viñas, J., A. Gordoa, R. Fernández-Cebrián, C. Pla, Ü. Vahdet, and R. M. Araguas. 2011. Facts and
 uncertainties about the genetic population structure of Atlantic bluefin tuna (Thunnus
 thynnus) in the Mediterranean. Implications for fishery management. Reviews in Fish
 Biology and Fisheries 21:527-541.
- Walter, J. F., C. E. Porch, M. V. Lauretta, S. L. Cass-Calay, and C. A. Brown. 2016. Implications of
 alternative spawning for bluefin tuna remain unclear. Proceedings of the National
 Academy of Sciences 113:E4259-E4260.
- Waples, R. 1998. Separating the wheat from the chaff: patterns of genetic differentiation in highgene flow species. Journal of Heredity **89**:438-450.
- 1261 Waples, R. S. 2022. What Is Ne, Anyway? Journal of Heredity **113**:371-379.
- Ward, R. D., Zemlak, T. S., Innes, B. H., Last, P. R., & Hebert, P. D. 2005. DNA barcoding Australia's
 fish species. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B,
 Biological Sciences, 360(1462), 1847–1857. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2005. 1716
- Wellenreuther, M., and L. Bernatchez. 2018. Eco-Evolutionary Genomics of Chromosomal
 Inversions. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 33:427-440.
- Xuereb, A., C. C. D'Aloia, M. Andrello, L. Bernatchez, and M.-J. Fortin. 2021. Incorporating
 putatively neutral and adaptive genomic data into marine conservation planning.
 Conservation Biology **35**:909-920.
- Yang, J., S. H. Lee, M. E. Goddard, and P. M. Visscher. 2011. GCTA: A Tool for Genome-wide
 Complex Trait Analysis. The American Journal of Human Genetics 88:76-82.
- 1272

1273

1275 Figure legends

1276 Figure 1. Population structure and connectivity of Atlantic bluefin tuna. (a) Map showing capture 1277 location and life stage of Atlantic bluefin tuna samples included in this study. Capture location 1278 of adults from the Gulf of Mexico are enclosed within the purple rounded polygon to fulfil 1279 confidentiality requirements. (b) Estimated individual ancestry proportions assuming two 1280 ancestral populations. (c) Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of genetic variability among 1281 Atlantic bluefin tuna samples, following colour codes identical to (b). (d) Density distribution of 1282 individual MED-like ancestry proportions per spawning ground. (e) F3 statistics for each 1283 combination of sources and target populations, where the Slope Sea and Mediterranean Sea 1284 contain larvae and young of the year and larvae, young of the year and adults, respectively (see 1285 detailed results in Table S4 and Figure S5). (f) Visual representation of the best-fit demographic 1286 model, where arrow and branch widths are proportional to directional migration rates (m) and 1287 effective population sizes (n) respectively, and where T represents the duration of population 1288 splits. Estimated parameter values are given in units of 2nA, where nA is the effective size of the 1289 ancestral population, related to the population-scaled mutation rate parameter of the ancestral 1290 populations by $\theta = 4nA\mu$.

1291

1292 Figure 2. Outlier markers in Atlantic bluefin tuna cluster within one 2.63 Mb genomic regions 1293 showing high long-distance linkage disequilibrium. (a) PCA performed using the 123 outlier SNPs 1294 showing the three-cluster grouping (shades of blue) where shapes and colors of samples are 1295 those indicated in Figure 1. (b) SNP pairwise linkage disequilibrium plot among the 110 SNPs 1296 found within a high linkage region covering scaffolds BKCK01000075 (partially) and 1297 BKCK01000111 of the reference genome where most of the SNPs contributing to PC1 from (a) 1298 are located. (c) Boxplot showing heterozygosity values (y axis) at the three sample groups shown 1299 in (a), represented by the same blue colour code, and based on the 110 SNPs within the genomic 1300 region shown in (b). (d) Proportion of samples from each location and age class assigned to each 1301 of the three groups shown in (c).

1302 Figure 3. Interspecific introgression between albacore and Atlantic bluefin tuna. (a) Phylogenetic 1303 tree estimated by TreeMix based on nuclear data allowing one migration event (the arrow 1304 indicates migration direction and rate). Numbers indicate the percentage of individuals (from 1305 those included in the tree) showing the introgressed mitochondrial haplotype based on three 1306 diagnostic positions retrieved in the 'mito' catalog for each location and age class (abbreviations 1307 as in Figure 1). On the upper right, zoom on the phylogenetic relationships among Atlantic 1308 bluefin tuna groups. (b) D statistical values estimated from the ABBA/BABA test used to detect 1309 introgression from albacore to different targets (rows) using different references (colors). The 1310 conceptual trees show the model topology of BABA (left) and ABBA (right) genetic variants. In 1311 absence of introgression D-statistic should equal 0, while the higher the value, the more 1312 introgressed is the target group respect to the reference and vice versa.

Figure 4. Evolutionary origin of Atlantic bluefin tuna variation within the region of high linkage disequilibrium. (a) Principal component analysis (PCA) including other *Thunnus* species) performed using 156 genetic variants located within the genomic region under high linkage disequilibrium, hosting a candidate structural variant. Albacore tuna (*T. alalunga*) is represented in blue, Southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*) in green and Pacific bluefin tuna (*T. orientalis*) in yellow, (b) Estimated D values from an ABBA/BABA test based on variants located within the genomic region of high linkage disequilibrium, using Southern bluefin tuna as an out-group,
albacore as a donor species and all different groups of Atlantic bluefin tuna considering
spawning area (Mediterranean Sea = MED, Gulf of Mexico = GOM and Slope Sea = SS) and age
class (larvae = L, young of the year = Y and adult = A) as alternative targets ordered along the y-

1323 axis.







0.50

MEDL

n=54

0.25

0.00

0.75 1.00





